

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron

Tetrahedron 63 (2007) 5768-5796

Total synthesis of amphidinolide E and amphidinolide E stereoisomers

Porino Va and William R. Roush*

Departments of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Scripps Florida, Jupiter, FL 33458, United States

Received 13 January 2007; revised 12 February 2007; accepted 14 February 2007 Available online 20 February 2007

Abstract—Four amphidinolide E stereoisomers, amphidinolide E (1), 2-*epi*-amphidinolide E (2), 19-*epi*-amphidinolide E (3), and 2-*epi*-19*epi*-amphidinolide E (4), have been synthesized via the judicious union of aldehyde 5, allylsilanes 7 or 8, acids 9 or 10, and vinylstannane 6. The C19 stereocenters of the C19 epimeric allylsilanes 7 and 8 were introduced via crotylboration reactions early in the synthesis. [3+2] Annulation reactions of aldehyde 5 with allylsilanes 7 and 8 were employed to set the core tetrahydrofuran units of 1–4. Finally, the C2 stereocenter was installed by esterification using acid 9, without incident, or with acid 10, in which case an unexpected and completely stereoselective inversion of C2 occurs.

© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The amphidinolides are a family of biologically active macrolides isolated from the dinoflagellate *Amphidinium* sp.¹ Many of the amphidinolides possess striking cytotoxic properties. Furthermore, this family of natural products exhibits a high degree of structural diversity despite being isolated from a common source. As a consequence, the amphidinolides have attracted considerable interest as targets for synthesis and biological evaluation. Total syntheses of amphidinolides A,² J,³ K,⁴ P,⁵ T,⁶ W,⁷ X⁸ and Y⁹ have been reported.

Amphidinolide E^{10} (1) is a 19-membered biologically active^{1c} macrolactone featuring an embedded 2,5-*cis*-tetrahydrofuran (Fig. 1). This structural motif is common within the amphidinolide family. However, the C(1)–C(6) α -chiral,



Figure 1. Retrosynthetic analysis of amphidinolide E.

Keywords: Amphidinolide E stereoisomers; [3+2] Annulation reaction; Esterification of Fe(CO)₃-complexed dienoic acid. * Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 561 799 8880; fax: +1 561 799 8955; e-mail: roush@scripps.edu $\beta,\gamma,\delta,\epsilon$ -dienoate moiety is unique to amphidinolide E. Lee has recently reported the total synthesis of amphidinolide E,¹¹ while Gurjar¹² and Marshall¹³ have published studies toward the synthesis of this interesting natural product.

As part of a program directed toward the synthesis of tetrahydrofuran-containing natural products¹⁴ using a [3+2] annulation strategy,^{15,16} we developed and reported a convergent and stereoselective total synthesis of amphidinolide E.¹⁷ In the course of these studies, we encountered an unexpected and highly selective C2 inversion during an esterification reaction $(25+10 \rightarrow 39)$ that ultimately led to the inadvertent synthesis of 2-*epi*-amphidinolide E(2).¹⁸ Initially, we were unaware of this C2 inversion and were faced with the conundrum as to why 2-epi-amphidinolide E (2), which at the time we thought was structure 1, did not have spectroscopic properties that matched the data reported in the literature for amphidinolide E(1).¹⁰ We describe herein the various structural correlation experiments undertaken to unravel this problem, which ultimately led to the syntheses of amphidinolide E (1), 2-epi-amphidinolide E (2), 19-epiamphidinolide E (3), and 2-epi-19-epi-amphidinolide E (4).

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Synthesis of 2-epi-amphidinolide E

We envisioned that amphidinolide E could be obtained by the Stille¹⁹ cross coupling of vinyl iodide **11** with vinylstannane **6** (Fig. 1). Macrocycle **11** would be accessed in two steps via esterification of **12** with dienoic acid **13**, followed by ring closing metathesis. Finally, we anticipated that the tetrahydrofuran fragment **12** would arise from the product of the [3+2] annulation of aldehyde **5** and allylsilane 7.^{14a,15}

The synthesis of aldehyde **5** began with the Swern oxidation of alcohol **14**,²⁰ which is available in five steps from commercially available isopropylidene dimethyl D-tartrate (Scheme 1). Treatment of the aldehyde with vinyl magnesium bromide followed by a Johnson orthoester Claisen rearrangement²¹ of the allylic alcohol intermediate afforded methyl ester **15** in 60% overall yield. Reduction of **15** with DIBAL (-78 °C) yielded the targeted aldehyde **5**.

Allylsilane 7 was synthesized starting from homoallylic alcohol 16,²² which is available with high diastereoselectivity from the asymmetric (E)-crotylboration²³ of L-glyceraldehyde pentylidene ketal²⁴ (Scheme 2). Protection of 16 as the *p*-methoxybenzyl ether followed by hydroboration-oxidation of the vinyl group provided primary alcohol 17 (90% yield). Oxidation of **17**, by using SO_3 · pyridine and DMSO,²⁵ and subsequent Corey–Fuchs²⁶ homologation of the aldehyde furnished alkyne 18 (88%). Acidic hydrolysis of the pentylidene ketal protecting group and oxidative cleavage of the resulting diol afforded aldehyde 19. anti-Silylallylboration of 19 was accomplished with 9:1 selectivity (90% vield) by using $(E)-\gamma$ -silvlallylboronate (S,S)-20.²⁷ Protection of the β -hydroxy allylsilane **21** as the triethylsilyl ether provided allylsilane coupling partner 7. Mosher ester analysis of alcohol **21** confirmed the C17(S) hydroxyl stereocenter (Scheme 3).²⁸ In addition, the C16–C17 relative stereochemistry was confirmed via basic Peterson elimination²⁹ of **21**, which afforded the Z diene 23.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of aldehyde 5.





Scheme 3. Assignment of the C17 absolute and C16-C17 relative stereochemistry of allylsilane 21.

Initial [3+2] annulations using excess aldehyde 5, with respect to allylsilane 7, and substitchiometric amounts of $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ afforded low yields of product 24 (entry 1, Scheme 4). On the other hand, use of stoichiometric or excess amounts of allylsilane 7 and stoichiometric amounts of Lewis acid led to improved yields of 24 (entries 3-5). The optimum reaction stiochiometry, 2.5 equiv of 7 and 1 equiv of 5, led to 24 in 48% yield and d.r.>20:1. Use of SnCl₄ as the Lewis acid led to trace amounts of 24 and significant decomposition of 7 (entry 2).³⁰ Excess allylsilane 7 was recovered in excellent yield for all reactions using $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$. The modest yield of 24 is due to the propensity of 5 to cyclotrimerize under the reaction conditions to give 26. Slow syringe pump addition of 5 into a -78 °C solution of allylsilane 7 and BF3 · Et2O failed to improve the yield. In addition, conducting the reaction at temperatures higher than -78 °C resulted in significant Peterson elimination of 7.

Treatment of [3+2] adduct **24** with solid TBAF·3H₂O in DMF at 90 °C effected smooth sp³ C–Si bond scission with concomitant removal of the triethylsilyl ether (Scheme 4).³¹ Reintroduction of the TES ether, and subsequent oxidative removal of the *p*-methoxybenzyl group³² gave alcohol **25**. The *cis*-THF stereochemistry of **24** was confirmed via NOE experiments and is shown in Figure 2.

Esterification of the C18 hydroxyl group of **25** (or related intermediates **27**, **28**, and **29**) with dienoic acid **13**³³ (or various derivatives of **13**) proved to be extremely challenging (Table 1). Use of excess amounts (10–20 equiv) of **13** and various coupling reagents invariably failed. The list of unsuccessful esterification reactions included attempts to use the modified Yamaguchi conditions³⁴ (entry 1), use of mild peptide coupling conditions³⁵ (entries 2, 3, and 6), use of Otera's transesterification catalyst **30**³⁶ (entry 5), attempted





Figure 2. NOE analysis of 24.

coupling of the tributyltin ether of 29 with the acylfluoride 32 (entry 7), and generation of the lithium alkoxide of 29 followed by treatment with acylfluoride **32** (entry 8). Kita³⁷ has developed a two-step esterification protocol involving initial formation of a 1-ethoxyvinyl ester derivative of the acid coupling partner using 1-ethoxyacetylene and {RuCl₂(p-cymene) $\}_2$. This 1-ethoxyvinyl ester derivative is then treated with the alcohol coupling partner and a catalytic amount of a Bronsted acid to achieve the esterification. Lee and co-workers¹¹ have successfully employed this methodology in a macrolactonization fashion for amphidinolide E. Unfortunately, the Kita conditions proved to be unsuccessful in our intermolecular reaction (entry 9). Whereas in most cases the alcohol was recovered unscathed from these unsuccessful experiments, the acid component was recovered as the fully conjugated, diene migrated species 34. No more than trace quantities of ester products corresponding to acids 13 or **34** could be isolated from these experiments.

We reasoned that use of a 'diene protected' acid **10** might be effective to avoid the problems encountered in attempted esterification reactions of acid **13**. The synthesis of acid **10** began with the Evans methylation³⁸ of oxazolidinone **35**³⁹ to afford product **36** in 79% yield (Scheme 5). Treatment of **36** with Fe₂(CO)₉ in benzene at reflux gave a separable 1:1

Table 1. Attempted esterification reactions

Entry

mixture of **37** and **38**. Hydrolysis of the acyloxazolidinone units of **37** and **38** furnished acids **10** and 9^{40} in 62% and 58% yield, respectively.

Gratifyingly, use of $(CO)_3$ Fe-complexed dienoic acid **10** (1.6 equiv) resulted in an efficient esterification with alcohol **25** under the modified Yamaguchi conditions (Scheme 6). However, the ester product **39** is the unexpected, C2 inverted isomer. Since **39** was formed as a single diastereomer, we had no reason to suspect inversion at C2 and therefore proceeded forward with the synthesis under the assumption that the 2S stereochemistry of **10** had been preserved after the esterification reaction. It was not until much later (see Section 2.4) that we became aware of the C2 inversion in this reaction.

Oxidative decomplexation of the (CO)₃Fe unit of **39** (96% yield) followed by ring closing metathesis^{41,42} (60% yield) afforded the 19-membered macrocycle **40**. Furthermore, an inseparable mixture of products thought to arrive by enyne metathesis was also isolated (15% yield). Use of the more active Grubbs' second generation or Grubbs–Hoveyda catalysts resulted in significant decomposition of the polyene substrate. Diene and triene forming ring closing metathesis macrocyclizations can sometimes be plague with products containing rings smaller than desired.^{42a,b} However, none of the smaller macrocycles (16-membered ring and smaller) were observed for the ring closure of the polyene substrate. In addition, ruthenium catalyzed ring closing metathesis reactions of substrates containing internal alkynes,⁴⁴ and protected terminal alkynes (silylated⁴⁵ or dicobalt complexed⁴⁶) are rare.

Stannylalumination–protonolysis⁴⁷ of the alkyne unit of **40** followed by iododestannylation of the resultant vinylstannane gave vinyl iodide **41**. Acidic hydrolysis of both the



1	27+13	2,4,6-Trichlorobenzoyl chloride,	Decomposition of acid
2 3 4 5 6	27 + 13 28 + 13 28 + 33 28 + 31 29 + 13	Et ₃ N, DMAP, THF, 23 °C to reflux EDCI·MeI, DMAP, CH ₂ Cl ₂ , $0-23$ °C PyBrOP, <i>i</i> -Pr ₂ NEt, CH ₂ Cl ₂ , $0-23$ °C PhMe, 23–100 °C 30 (10 mol %), PhMe, 50 °C DCC, HOBt, THF, 23–50 °C	isolated: Me ^{rn CO} 2 ^H
7	29+32	29 , (Bu ₃ Sn) ₂ O, PhH, reflux; then add 32 , CH_2Cl_2	34
8	29 + 32	29 , LDA, -78 °C, THF; then 32 or 33 , THF	
9	25+13	13, HCCOEt, {RuCl ₂ (p -cymene)} ₂ , PhMe, 0–23 °C; then add 25, CSA (10 mol %), PhMe, 50 °C	



Scheme 5. Synthesis of acids 9 and 10.

triethylsilyl and acetonide protecting groups afforded a 10:1 inseparable mixture of the C18 and C17 lactones. Stille cross coupling of the mixture of lactonic iodides with vinyl-stannane 6^{12} followed by HPLC purification afforded 2-*epi*-amphidinolide E (2), spectroscopic data for which did not match Kobayashi's spectroscopic data for amphidinolide E (1). The most egregious spectroscopic disagreement between 2 and natural amphidinolide E (1) was the chemical

shift for the H3 proton (6.00 ppm for 2 vs 5.59 ppm for natural 1).

2.2. Structural correlations of our intermediates with Kobayashi's amphidinolide E degradation products

In an effort to determine the structural discrepancies between natural amphidinolide E(1) and what we believed



Scheme 6. Completion of 2-epi-amphidinolide E synthesis.



Scheme 7. Kobayashi's absolute stereochemical assignment of 13S, 16S, and 2R.

was our 'synthetic amphidinolide E' (2), we proceeded to repeat Kobayashi's stereochemical assignments^{10b} for 1 using our synthetic intermediates as correlation compounds.

Kobayashi and co-workers transformed natural amphidinolide E (1) into two degradation products, the C8-C17 tetrahydrofuran-containing fragment 42 and the C1-C7 fragment **43** (Scheme 7).¹⁰ The enantiomer of **42**, namely compound 44, was independently synthesized by Kobayashi, thereby leading to the assignment of 13S and 16S stereochemistry in natural amphidinolide E. The 2R stereochemistry of natural amphidinolide E was assigned by analogy to data for a small set of structures containing primary Mosher esters with adjacent methyl-branched stereocenters.48 This precedent indicated that the difference in chemical shifts for the diastereotopic C1 methylene protons were typically smaller for (S)-MTPA esters when the adjacent methyl-branched stereocenter has R stereochemistry. We were concerned about the reliability of this method for absolute stereochemical assignment, given the small number of literature examples, and therefore resolved to make an unequivocal stereochemical assignment for C2 by independently synthesizing the C1-C7 fragment 43 from a chiral pool starting material, aldehyde 45⁴⁹ (Scheme 8). Olefination of aldehyde 45 afforded product 46.⁵⁰ Hydrogenation of 46 in EtOAc/MeOH over Pd/C occurred with concomitant hydrolysis of the primary TBS ether. Oxidative removal of the PMB ether of **47** followed by Mosher ester formation yielded the C1–C7 fragment **43**. The ¹H NMR data for our synthetic **43** matched Kobayashi's data exactly. Therefore C2 of **43**, and hence also of amphidinolide E, is R.

Kobayashi and co-workers treated natural amphidinolide E with 2,2-dimethoxypropane and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid to generate the C7–C8 acetonide derivative **49** (Scheme 9).¹⁰ The magnitude of the H7–H8 coupling constant and the indicated NOESY correlation peaks of **49** formed the basis for assignment of *threo* C7–C8 relative stereochemistry. The C7 and C8 absolute stereochemistry was assigned by application of the exciton chirality method⁵¹ for the 7,8-bis-cinnamoyl ester derivative **50**. The CD spectrum of **50** showed a negative first Cotton effect (λ_{ext} 324 nm, $\Delta \varepsilon$ –14.3) and positive second Cotton effect (λ_{ext} 289 nm, $\Delta \varepsilon$ +18.9), indicating 7*R* and 8*R* absolute stereochemistry, respectively.⁵²

Kobayashi's NMR analysis of the 7,8,17-tris-MTPA ester derivative **51** confirmed the 7*R* and 8*R* assignments and determined the 17*R* stereocenter of natural amphidinolide E (Scheme 10a).¹⁰ Deprotection of our ring closing metathesis product **40**, followed by Mosher ester formation afforded the synthetic correlation Mosher triester **52** (Scheme 10b).





Scheme 9. Kobayashi's relative and absolute stereochemical assignment of C7 and C8.

Mosher triester **52** lacks the complete side chain of Kobayashi's intermediate **51**. Therefore, the magnitudes of the chemical shift differences for the (*S*) versus (*R*)-MTPA ester derivatives were not expected to be identical. However, if the stereocenters in **51** and **52** were the same, we expected the directionalities of the chemical shift differences ($\Delta \delta = \delta_S - \delta_R$) to correlate. This held true at every position except for C17 and C3. We thought this discrepancy was an indication that we had incorrectly assigned the C16 stereochemistry of **52**, and ultimately **40** and **25**, as 16*S* and that perhaps the correct stereochemistry is 16*R*. Therefore, our intermediate **25** was transformed into Kobayashi's C8–C17 fragment **42** in eight standard steps (Scheme 11). The ¹H NMR data for our synthetic **42** matched Kobayashi's data, thereby confirming that our original 16*S* stereochemical assignment was correct.

Kobayashi and co-workers assigned the C18 and C19 stereocenters by synthesizing the bis-acetonide intermediate **55** from natural amphidinolide E in three steps (Scheme 12).¹⁰



Scheme 10. (a) Kobayashi's absolute stereochemical assignment of C17 (also confirming C7 and C8). (b) Comparison of Mosher ester data for synthetic 52 and natural product derivative 51.



Scheme 11. Confirmation of 13S and 16S stereochemistry of 25.

The NOESY correlation peaks of **55** established a *threo* C17–C18 relationship. In addition, the H18–H19 and H18–C28 coupling constants of **1** supported an *erythro* C18–C19 relationship. The red NOESY peak in **55** was also used to support the C18–C19 relative assignment. We felt that the NOESY peaks shown for **55** were not unique for the 19R (*erythro* C18–C19) stereochemical assignment of **55**. It is possible that the C19-epimer of **55**, compound **56** (19*S* instead of 19*R*), could exhibit the same NOESY peaks and coupling constant data. Therefore, we considered the possibility that C19 might have been originally misassigned.

Based upon the correlation experiments described above, we thought that we had confirmed the C7, C8, C13, C16, C17, *and the C2* stereochemistry of both natural amphidinolide

E as well as our synthetic intermediates. In addition, we felt that Kobayashi's C18 stereochemical assignment was irrefutable. Therefore, we concluded at this stage that the structure of natural amphidinolide E most likely was 57, with 19*S* instead of 19*R* stereochemistry (Fig. 3).

2.3. Synthesis of 2-epi-19-epi-amphidinolide E

The 19*S* stereochemistry in the possible alternative structure of amphidinolide E (**57**) was incorporated into our synthetic route by using *Z*-(*S*,*S*)-crotylboronate **59**²³ for the asymmetric crotylboration of L-glyceraldehyde pentylidene ketal **58**.²⁴ This experiment provided homoallylic alcohol **60** in good diastereoselectivity (Scheme 13). Elaboration of **60** into allylsilane **8** was accomplished using



Scheme 12. Kobayashi's C17-C18 and C18-C19 relative stereochemical assignment.



Figure 3. Postulated revised structure of amphidinolide E.

steps analogous to our original route shown previously in Scheme 2.

The [3+2] annulation reaction between allylsilane **8** (3 equiv) and aldehyde **5** provided **65** with >20:1 selectivity in 61% yield (Scheme 14). The excess **8** can be recovered



with excellent efficiency (92%). The [3+2] adduct **65** was transformed into alcohol **67** using the same sequence as described for the synthesis of **25** (Scheme 4).

The C16–C17 relative stereochemistry of 8 was confirmed via basic Peterson elimination to afford the Z diene 68



Scheme 14. [3+2] Annulation of 5 and 8.



Scheme 15. Confirmation of the C16–C17 relative and C17 absolute stereochemistry.



Scheme 16. Completion of 2-epi-19-epi-amphidinolide E synthesis.

(Scheme 15). Assessment of the C17 absolute stereochemistry was attempted by direct esterification of the hydroxyl group in **64** with (R) and (S)-MTPA–Cl. However, the hydroxyl group of **64** is quite hindered and no reaction was observed. Therefore, confirmation of the C17 absolute stereochemistry was accomplished by the Mosher ester analysis of alcohol **66**.

Esterification of alcohol 67 with acid 10 afforded 70 as a single diastereomer with complete inversion of the C2 stereochemistry (Scheme 16). Again, we had no reason to suspect inversion at C2 due to the high diastereoselectivity and the very clean 'spot to spot' nature of the reaction. Elaboration of 70 into 2-epi-19-epi-amphidinolide E (4) was accomplished using the same chemistry as described for the synthesis of 2-epi-amphidinolide E (2) (Scheme 6). However, it should be mentioned that a small amount of the presumed enyne side products was once again observed during the ring closing metathesis reaction (10%). In addition, the acidic deprotection of 71 afforded a 2:1 inseparable mixture of the regioisomeric C18 (desired) and C17 lactones. Stille coupling of this mixture with vinylstannane 6 followed by HPLC separation of the isomers afforded pure 4. To our dismay, spectroscopic properties of 2-epi-19-epiamphidinolide E (4), which at this stage we thought was structure 57, once again did not match Kobayashi's data for natural amphidinolide E.

2.4. Discovery of the C2 inversion problem and synthesis of amphidinolide E (1) and 19-*epi*-amphidinolide E (3)

After synthesizing what we thought were structures 1 and 57 (i.e., syntheses of 2-epi-amphidinolide E (2) and 2-epi-19epi-amphidinolide E (4)) only to arrive at material that did not match Kobayashi's natural amphidinolide E, we decided to revisit the original 19R series of compounds in order to obtain more insight into the true structure of amphidinolide E (reaction pathway B, Scheme 17). Critically, we had consumed all of our supply of acid diastereomer 10 and decided to use the large supply of diastereomer 9 that had accumulated in our laboratory (reaction pathway A, Scheme 17). Use of either acid diastereomer 9 or 10 should lead to polyene 72 after oxidative decomplexation of the (CO)₃Fe unit if C2 inversion were not occurring. To our surprise, we discovered that esterification-decomplexation reactions using 9 and 10 did not converge to one compound. Instead, they each separately yielded different polyenes 72 and 73 as



Scheme 17. Divergent behavior of acids 9 and 10 in the modified Yamaguchi esterification reaction of 25.

single diastereomers. Before this discovery, we had always thought that the esterification-decomplexation sequence involving **10** was yielding polyene **72**. Instead, it became absolutely clear based on the following examples that use of acid **10** in this sequence afforded polyene **73**, proceeding through **39**, with clean inversion at C2.

The discovery of separate, divergent reaction pathways for acids 9 and 10 prompted the evaluation of the C2 stereocenter in intermediates both prior to and after the esterification reaction (Table 2). In addition, the starting material used in the synthesis of both 9 and 10, oxazolidinone 36, was also evaluated. Table 2 summarizes these correlation experiments. Compounds 36, 9, 10, 76 and 39 were transformed into diene 75. The optical rotations of 75 from each reduction sequence were then compared with material independently synthesized from aldehyde 45,49 using the method of Keck.⁵³ The 2*R* stereochemistry of **36** was verified prior to complexation of the (CO)₃Fe unit and hydrolysis of the acyloxazolidinone (entry 1, Table 2). The 2S stereochemistry of acids 9 and 10 was also verified prior to being subjected to the esterification reactions (entries 2 & 3, Table 2). Furthermore, the C2 stereochemistry of ester 76, the product of esterification of 25 with acid 9, was confirmed as 2S (entry 4, Table 2). On the other hand, the data in entry 5 indisputably affirm that inversion at C2 occurs when acid 10 is used in the esterification reaction of 25.

We were pleased to find that subjection of polyene 72 to the same sequence of reactions used to synthesized 2-*epi*amphidinolide E (2) and 2-*epi*-19-*epi*-amphidinolide E (4) afforded synthetic amphidinolide E (1), which had spectroscopic properties that matched natural amphidinolide E (Scheme 18). Interestingly, it should be noted that, unlike the 2-*epi*-series, the acidic deprotection of **77** only afforded the desired C18 lactone and none of the undesired C17 regioisomeric lactone. As observed before, a mixture of products thought to arrive by enyne metathesis was also isolated in 10% yield from the ring closing metathesis of **72**.

Furthermore, we also synthesized the final C2 and C19 stereochemical permutation, 19-*epi*-amphidinolide E (**3**) (Scheme 19). Only the C18 lactone was observed in the deprotection of **79**. Furthermore, only a 5% yield of presumed enyne products was observed during the ring closing metathesis reaction. During the course of the synthesis of **3**, we treated **78** with K₂CO₃ (0.9 equiv) in methanol at 50 °C for 3 h and obtained a 2.6:1 mixture of C2 diastereomers favoring **78**. This experiment establishes that the epimerizations observed in the esterifications of **25** (Scheme 6) and **67** (Scheme 16) with the diastereomeric Fe(CO)₃-complexed dienoic acid (2*S*,3*S*)-**10** are contrathermodynamic, and therefore also kinetically controlled.¹⁸

We hypothesize that ketene intermediates may be involved in the esterification reactions with $(CO)_3$ Fe-complexed acids **9** and **10** (Scheme 20). Ketene intermediates have previously been implicated by Fürstner and co-workers in studies of the Yamaguchi macrolactonization directed toward iejimalide B.⁵⁴ Activation of acid **10** followed by elimination could afford ketene intermediate **81**. Addition of the alcohol coupling partner (HO–R) to **81** and subsequent reformation of the C2 stereocenter via diastereoselective protonation of enol **82** *anti* to the (CO)₃Fe unit would yield the observed C2 invert product **80**. Alternatively, DMAP could add to the ketene intermediate **81**.⁵⁵ Diastereoselective protonation of enolate **83** followed by alkoxide addition to acyl pyridinium **84** could also afford product **80**.

Table 2. Assessment of C2 stereochemistry of 36, 9, 10, 76, and 39





¹H NMR data matches Kobayashi's data for amphidinolide E

Scheme 18. Completion of the synthesis of amphidinolide E.



Scheme 19. Completion of the synthesis of 19-epi-amphidinolide E.



Scheme 20. Proposed esterification pathway.

Matching spectroscopic details for natural and synthetic amphidinolide E are summarized in Table 3, confirming the validity of the originally assigned structure. Characteristic ¹H NMR data for all four amphidinolide stereoisomers (1–4) are presented in Table 4. It is noteworthy, that the H3 resonance is substantially shifted down field relative to amphidinolide E for both C2 epimers (2 and 4). Furthermore, the C30-Me resonance is also shifted down field for 2 and 4. On the other hand, the C29-Me is shifted up field in the 19-*epi*-series (3 and 4). Only the data for synthetic 1 match that of the natural product.

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have synthesized four stereoisomers of amphidinolide E, namely amphidinolide E (1), 2-epi-

amphidinolide E (2), 19-*epi*-amphidinolide E (3), and 2*epi*-19-*epi*-amphidinolide E (4). This constitutes a rigorous verification of the stereochemistry of amphidinolide E.⁵⁶ In the course of the studies toward **1**, we discovered an unexpected and highly selective C2 inversion in the esterification reaction of $(CO)_3$ Fe-complexed dienoic acid **10**. Insight into the possible mechanism of this epimerization, the context of which depends on the steric environment of the alcohol, has been published elsewhere.¹⁸ Results of the biological evaluation of **2**, **3**, and **4** will be reported in due course.

4. Experimental

4.1. General experimental details

All reaction solvents were purified before use. Tetrahydrofuran, dichloromethane, diethyl ether, and toluene were purified by passing through a solvent column composed of activated A-1 alumina. Unless indicated otherwise, all reactions were conducted under an atmosphere of argon using flame-dried or oven-dried (170 °C) glassware. 4 Å molecular sieves were activated under high vacuum with heat (180 °C) for 12 h and re-activated through flame-drying immediately prior to use.

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR) spectra were recorded on commercial instruments at 400 or 500 MHz. Carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance (¹³C NMR) spectra were recorded at 100 and 125 MHz. The proton signal for residual nondeuterated solvent (δ 7.26 for CHCl₃) was used as an internal reference for ¹H NMR spectra. For ¹³C NMR spectra, chemical shifts are reported relative to the δ 77.2 resonance of CHCl₃. Coupling constants are reported in Hz. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded as films on an FTIR instrument. Optical rotations were measured on a polarimeter using a quartz cell with 1 mL capacity and a 10 cm path length. Mass spectra were recorded on a commercial spectrometer.

Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Kieselgel 60 F₂₅₄ glass plates pre-coated with a 0.25 mm

Table 3. Spectroscopic comparison of natural and synthetic amphidinolide E (1) 1 H NMR data



Proton	Natural (Kobayashi, 600 MHz)	Synthetic (Roush, 400 MHz)
2	3.26 (1H, dq, <i>J</i> =10.0, 6.8 Hz)	3.26 (1H, m)
3	5.59 (1H, dd, <i>J</i> =14.0,	5.59 (1H, m) H3, H10 & H23
	10.0 Hz)	overlap
4	6.20 (1H, dd, <i>J</i> =14.0,	6.20 (1H, m) H4 and H5
	10.6 Hz)	overlap
5	6.16 (1H, dd, <i>J</i> =14.5,	6.16 (1H, m) H4 and H5
	10.6 Hz)	overlap
6	5.53 (1H, dd, <i>J</i> =14.5, 8.5 Hz)	5.53 (1H, dd, <i>J</i> =14.8, 8.8 Hz)
7	3.88 (1H, t, J=8.5 Hz)	3.89 (1H, t, <i>J</i> =8.8 Hz)
8	3.95 (1H, t, <i>J</i> =8.5 Hz)	3.95 (1H, t, <i>J</i> =8.4 Hz)
9	5.27 (1H, dd, <i>J</i> =15.6, 8.5 Hz)	5.27 (1H, dd, <i>J</i> =14.4, 7.6 Hz)
10	5.64 (1H, m)	5.64 (1H, m) <i>H3</i> , <i>H10</i> & <i>H23</i>
		overlap
11a	2.23 (1H, m)	2.23 (1H, m)
11b	1.82 (1H, m)	1.82 (1H, m)
12a	1.76 (1H, m)	1.76 (1H, m)
12b	1.48 (1H, m)	1.48 (1H, m)
13	3.41 (1H, m)	3.40 (1H, m)
14a	1.40 (1H, m)	1.40 (1H, m)
14b	1.25 (1H, m)	1.25 (1H, m)
15a	1.58 (1H, m)	1.58 (1H, m) overlapping
1.51	1.22 (111)	w/water
156	1.33 (1H, m)	1.33 (IH, m)
10	3.56 (1H, dt, $J=7.5$, 7.1 Hz)	3.56 (IH, m)
1/	3./2 (1H, dt, $J=/.5$, 4.5 Hz)	3.72 (IH, m)
18	4.66 (1H, d, J=8.3 HZ)	4.66 (1H, d, J=9.2 HZ)
19	2.25 (1H, m)	2.25 (IH, m)
20a	2.40 (1H, d, J=13.4 HZ)	2.40 (IH, d, J=14.0 HZ)
200	1.79 (IH, m)	1.79 (IH, m)
22	6.05 (IH, d, J=15.9 HZ)	6.05 (IH, d, J=15.2 HZ)
23	5.71 (IH, ut, $J = 15.9$, 0.8 Hz)	5./1 (1H, III) H5, H10 & H25
24	2.78 (21 br d $I = 6.8$ Hz)	2.78(2H m)
24	2.78 (2H, DI u, J=0.8 HZ)	2.78 (2H, H)
20a 26h	4.75 (1H, 8)	4.75 (1H, 8) 4.71 (1H, s)
200	(11, 5) 172 (2H s)	(111, 5) 1 72 (2H s)
∠/ 28a	1.72 (3H, 8) 1.98 (1H s)	$1.72 (3\Pi, 8)$ 1.98 (1H s)
20a 28h	4.70 (1H, S)	$4.70 (1\Pi, S)$
200	(10, 0)	(111, 5) 0.02 (3H d I=6.8 Hz)
29	1.25 (3H, d, J=0.0 Hz)	1.25 (3H, d, J=0.0 HZ)
50	1.23 (3n, u, J=0.8 nz)	1.23 (3п, u, J=0.8 пz)

thickness of silica gel. The TLC plates were visualized with UV light and/or by staining with Hanessian solution (ceric sulfate and ammonium molybdate in aqueous sulfuric acid). Column chromatography was generally performed using Kieselgel 60 (230–400 mesh) silica gel, typically using a 50–100:1 weight ratio of silica gel to crude product.

HPLC purifications were performed by using an HPLC system composed of two Varian Prostar pumps (model 210) connected to normal phase columns. Samples were loaded into the system with a 2 mL Rheodyne 7125 injector and were detected using a Varian Prostar UV and a Varian RI detector.

4.1.1. (*E*)-5-((4*R*,5*R*)-2,2-Dimethyl-5-vinyl-[1,3]dioxolan-4-yl)-pent-4-enoic acid methyl ester (15). To a -78 °C solution of $(\text{COCl})_2$ (3.45 mL, 39.4 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (80 mL) was added DMSO (3.50 mL, 49.2 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL). The reaction was stirred at -78 °C for 15 min, then alcohol 14^{20} (3.12 g, 19.7 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) was added. The reaction was stirred for 20 min at -78 °C followed by the addition of triethylamine (16.4 mL, 118 mmol). The mixture was allowed to warm to 0 °C. After 30 min, the reaction was diluted with Et₂O (300 mL), upon which a white precipitate forms (triethylamine hydrochloride). The slurry was filtered through a 1 inch pad of Celite and concentrated to afford the aldehyde, a yellow oil, which was immediately used in the next reaction.

To a 0 °C solution of the crude aldehyde in THF (60 mL) was added vinyl magnesium bromide (60 mL of a 1.0 M THF solution, 60 mmol). The reaction was stirred for 2.5 h, then quenched with satd aq NaHCO₃ (50 mL), and extracted with Et_2O (20 mL×3). The organic phase was washed with brine (50 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated to afford a mixture of diastereomeric allylic alcohols as a yellow oil. This oil was used immediately in the next reaction.

To the mixture of diastereomeric allylic alcohols, from the preceding step, in toluene (66 mL) was added trimethyl orthoacetate (12.5 mL, 98.5 mmol) and propionic acid (0.3 mL, 3.94 mmol). The reaction was fitted with a condenser and placed in a 110 °C oil bath for 18 h. The solution was then quenched with 3 mL of triethylamine and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to yield methyl ester 15 (2.83 g, 60% over three steps) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 132^\circ$ (c 0.99, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.74–5.83 (m, 2H), 5.48 (dd, J=6.4, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (d, J=17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (d, J=10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (app q, J=6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 2.36–2.44 (m, 4H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172. 8, 134.0, 133.7, 126.9, 118.3, 108.7, 82.0, 81.6, 51.3, 33.1, 27.3, 26.8, 26.7; IR (neat) 2987, 2874, 1740, 1437, 1371 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₁₂H₁₈O₃Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 263.1259, found 263.1255.

4.1.2. (E)-5-((4R,5R)-2,2-Dimethyl-5-vinyl-[1,3]dioxolan-4-yl)-pent-4-enal (5). To a -78 °C solution of methyl ester 15 (2.25 g, 9.36 mmol) in toluene (31 mL) was added DIBAL (9.36 mL of a 1.0 M hexane solution, 9.36 mmol) dropwise such that the internal temperature was below -70 °C. After being stirred for 30 min, the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium potassium tartrate (Rochelle's salt) (40 mL) and diluted with Et₂O (20 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and extracted with Et_2O (20 mL×3). The organic phase was washed with brine (50 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford aldehyde 5 (1.59 g, 81%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} -28.7^\circ$ (c 1.41, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.73 (br s, 1H), 5.70-5.85 (m, 2H), 5.49 (br dd, J=6.0, 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (d, J=17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (d, J=10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.00-4.10 (m, 2H), 2.52-2.60 (m, 2H), 2.35-2.45 (m, 2H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.3, 134.1, 133.8, 127.2, 118.8, 109.0, 82.2, 81.8, 42.8, 27.0,

Table 4. Partial spectroscopic details of the four amphidinolide E stereoisomers 1-4



C(H)	Synthetic amphidinolide E (1)	2-epi-Amphidinolide E (2)	19-epi-Amphidinolide E (3)	2-epi-19-epi-Amphidinolide E (4)
2	3.26 (1H, m)	3.35 (1H, q, <i>J</i> =5.2 Hz)	3.26 (1H, m)	3.34 (1H, m)
3	5.67 (3H, m) <i>3</i> , <i>10</i> , &	6.00 (1H, m)	5.60 (3H, m) 3, 6, & 10 overlap	5.98 (1H, m)
4	25 overlap		(10)	
4	6.19 (2H, m) 4 & 5 overlap	6.20(2, m) 4 & 5 overlap	6.19(2, m) 4 & 5 overlap	6.20(2, m) 4 & 5 overlap
5	6.19 (2H, m) 4 & 5 overlap	6.20 (2, m) 4 & 5 overlap	6.19 (2, m) 4 & 5 overlap	6.20 (2, m) 4 & 5 overlap
6	5.53 (1H, dd, $J=14.4$, 8.8 Hz)	6.00 (3H, m) 6, 10, & 23 overlap	5.60 (3H, m) 3, 6, & 10 overlap	5.63 (2H, m) 6 & 10 overlap
7	3.89 (1H, t, <i>J</i> =8.8 Hz)	3.95 (2H, app dt, <i>J</i> =8.4, 19.2 Hz) 7 & 8 overlap	3.88 (1H, t, <i>J</i> =8.8 Hz)	3.96 (2H, m) 7 & 8 overlap
8	3.95 (1H, t, <i>J</i> =8.4 Hz)	3.95 (2H, app dt, <i>J</i> =8.4, 19.2 Hz) 7 & 8 overlap	3.95 (1H, t, <i>J</i> =8.4 Hz)	3.96 (2H, m) 7 & 8 overlap
9	5.27 (1H, dd, J=14.4, 7.6 Hz)	5.27 (1H, dd, $J=7.6$, 14.4 Hz)	5.27 (1H, dd, J=8.0, 15.2 Hz)	5.30 (1H, dd, <i>J</i> =7.6, 15.2 Hz)
10	5.67 (3H, m) 3, 10, &	6.00 (3H, m) 6, 10, & 23 overlap	5.60 (3H, m) 3, 6, & 10 overlap	5.63 (2H, m) 6 & 10 overlap
	23 overlap			
13	3.40 (1H, m)	3.43 (1H, m)	3.40 (1H, m)	3.42 (1H, m)
16	3.56 (1H, m)	3.50 (1H, m)	3.56 (1H, app q, J=8.8 Hz)	3.50 (1H, m)
17	3.72 (1H, m)	3.69 (1H, app t, $J=5.2$ Hz)	3.78 (1H, d, J=7.2 Hz)	3.74 (1H, m)
18	4.66 (1H, d, J=9.2 Hz)	4.66 (1H, d, J=9.6 Hz)	4.68 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =10.4 Hz)	4.69 (1H, d, J=10.4 Hz)
22	6.05 (1H, d, J=15.2 Hz)	6.04 (1H, d, J=16.0 Hz)	6.05 (1H, d, J=15.6 Hz)	6.05 (1H, d, J=16.0 Hz)
23	5.67 (3H, m) 3, 10, &	6.00 (3H, m) 6, 10, & 23 overlap	5.87 (1H, dt, <i>J</i> =6.8, 16.0 Hz)	5.85 (1H, dt, <i>J</i> =7.2, 15.6 Hz)
	23 overlap	_		
26a	4.75 (1H, s)	4.74 (1H, s)	4.73 (1H, s)	4.74 (1H, s)
26b	4.71 (1H, s)	4.70 (1H, s)	4.70 (1H, s)	4.70 (1H, s)
27	1.72 (3H, s)	1.72 (3H, s)	1.71 (3H, s)	1.72 (3H, s)
28a	4.98 (1H, s)	4.98 (1H, s)	4.98 (1H, s)	4.98 (1H, s)
28b	4.87 (1H, s)	4.86 (1H, s)	4.88 (1H, s)	4.88 (1H, s)
29	0.92 (3H. d. $J=6.8$ Hz)	0.91 (3H. d. J=6.8 Hz)	0.81 (3H, d, $J=6.4$ Hz)	0.82 (3H, d, $J=6.8$ Hz)
30	1.25 (3H, d, J=6.8 Hz)	1.34 (3H, d, J=7.2 Hz)	1.25 (3H, d, J=6.8 Hz)	1.34 (3H, d, J=6.8 Hz)

27.0, 24.7; IR (neat) 3085, 2987, 2875, 1726, 1379, 1371, 1239 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₁₃H₂₀O₃Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 233.1154, found 233.1245.

4.1.3. (3R,4R)-4-((S)-2,2-Diethyl-[1,3]dioxolan-4-yl)-4-(4methoxy-benzyloxy)-3-methyl-butan-1-ol (17). To a 0 °C slurry of NaH (1.69 g, 70.6 mmol) and Bu₄NI (1.7 g, 4.7 mmol) in THF (157 mL) was added homoallylic alcohol 16^{22} (10.1 g, 47.0 mmol) followed by *p*-methoxybenzyl chloride (6.38 mL, 47.0 mmol). The reaction was fitted with a condenser and refluxed for 16 h. The reaction was quenched with satd aq NH₄Cl (50 mL) and water (50 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (25 mL×3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford the *p*-methoxybenzyl ether (15.15 g, 96%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$ -41° (c 1.53, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.86 (ddd, J=8.0, 10.4, 17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.04 (app t, J=17.6 Hz, 2H), 4.60 (AB, J=10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (AB, J=11.2 Hz, 2H), 4.05-4.10 (m, 1H), 4.00 (dd, J=6.0, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.77 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (dd, J=3.6, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.50-2.54 (m, 1H), 1.57-1.70 (m, 4H), 1.09 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (dt, J=9.6, 7.6 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.1, 140.1, 130.1, 129.3, 115.0, 113.7, 112.1, 83.1, 77.3, 74.0, 66.9, 55.2, 40.8, 29.7, 29.0, 17.0, 8.2, 8.1; IR (neat) 3073, 2972, 1613, 1514, 1249 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₂₀H₃₀O₄Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 357.2042, found 357.2044.

To a solution of the *p*-methoxybenzyl ether (15.1 g, 45.3 mmol) in THF (181 mL) was added 9-BBN (272 mL of a 0.5 M THF solution, 136 mmol). The reaction was fitted with a condenser, refluxed for 3 h, cooled to 0 °C, and quenched with water (25 mL). The mixture was then treated with 2 N aq NaOH (227 mL) followed by 30% (w/w) H₂O₂ (46.3 mL) and the biphasic mixture was stirred at room temperature for 17 h. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (50 mL \times 3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 17 (15.1 g, 94%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 27^{\circ}$ (c 0.63, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.24 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.56 (s, 2H), 4.16 (app q, J=6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (dd, J=6.0, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.75 (app t, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.70-3.76 (m, 1H), 3.60–3.64 (m, 1H), 3.46 (dd, J=4.5, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.02-2.07 (m, 1H), 1.95 (dd, J=4.5, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 1.73-1.79 (m, 1H), 1.58–1.67 (m, 4H), 1.03 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (dt, J=7.0, 5.5 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.2, 130.3, 129.3, 113.7, 112.6, 83.3, 77.3, 76.3, 67.7, 60.5, 55.2, 34.9, 32.1, 29.7, 29.0, 16.3, 8.2; IR (neat) 3436, 2971, 2881, 1613, 1514, 1249 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₂₀H₃₂O₅Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 375.2147, found 375.2141.

4.1.4. (S)-2,2-Diethyl-4-[(1R,2R)-1-(4-methoxy-benzyloxy)-2-methyl-pent-4-ynyl]-[1,3]dioxolane (18). To a 0°C solution of alcohol 17 (15.0 g, 42.6 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (142 mL) was added DMSO (9.1 mL, 128 mmol), *i*-Pr₂NEt (22.2 mL, 128 mmol), and SO₃·pyridine (20.3 g, 128 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, then quenched with satd aq Na₂S₂O₃ (100 mL), and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (30 mL×3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford the aldehyde (13.39 g, 89%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 30^{\circ}$ (c 2.2, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.73 (app t, J=2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, J=9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J=9.0 Hz, 2H), 4.56 (AB, J=11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (AB, J=11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (dd, J=6.5, 13.0 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (dd, J=6.5, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.73 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.40 (dd, J=4.5, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (ddd, J=2.0, 6.0, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.43-2.48 (m, 1H), 2.37 (ddd, J=2.0, 7.5, 9.5 Hz, 1H), 1.57-1.67 (m, 4H), 1.06 (d, J=7.5, Hz, 3H), 0.88 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 202.2, 159.2, 130.2, 129.4, 113.7, 113.0, 82.2, 76.1, 73.3, 67.6, 55.2, 47.1, 30.3, 29.7, 28.9, 16.5, 8.2, 8.2; IR (neat) 2971, 2934, 2724, 2721, 1724, 1514, 1249 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) *m/z* for $C_{20}H_{30}O_5Na \ [M+Na]^+ \text{ calcd } 373.1991, \text{ found } 373.1984.$

To a 0 °C solution of PPh₃ (24.9 g, 94.87 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (182 mL) was added CBr₄ (15.7 g, 47.4 mmol). The reaction was warmed to room temperature for 30 min and then cooled back to 0 °C. To this mixture was added the aldehyde from the preceding step (12.8 g, 36.5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). The reaction was stirred for 30 min and then diluted with hexane (400 mL), upon which a white precipitate formed (Ph₃P=O). The slurry was filtered through Celite and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in hexane (300 mL) to precipitate more Ph₃P=O. The slurry was filtered through Celite and again concentrated. The residual oil was dissolved in THF (100 mL), cooled to -78 °C, and treated with *n*-BuLi (32.4 mL of 2.29 M hexane solution, 74.3 mmol). The reaction was stirred for 1 h, then quenched with satd aq NH₄Cl (100 mL), and extracted with EtOAc (50 mL \times 3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography afforded **18** (11.0 g, 98%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 7.6^\circ$ (c 0.89, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.62 (AB, J=10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (AB, J=11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (dt, J=6.0, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (dd, J=6.0, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.77 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (t, J=6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.27-2.39 (m, 2H), 1.98 (app t, J=3.2 Hz, 1H), 1.91-1.98 (m, 1H), 1.56–1.71 (m, 4H), 1.10 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.90 (app q, J=7.6 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.2, 130.5, 129.4, 113.7, 112.8, 83.2, 81.2, 76.5, 73.7, 69.4, 67.0, 55.2, 34.9, 29.7, 29.0, 22.1, 15.7, 8.2, 8.1; IR (neat) 3295, 2971, 1613, 1514 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₂₁H₃₀O₄Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 369.2042, found 369.2037.

4.1.5. (2*R*,3*R*)-2-(4-Methoxy-benzyloxy)-3-methyl-hex-5ynal (19). To alkyne 18 (4.84 g, 14.0 mmol) was added a 4:1 mixture of AcOH and water (47 mL). The reaction mixture was heated to 40 °C for 6 h and then was diluted with 50 mL of EtOAc. Solid NaHCO₃ (20 g) was slowly added portionwise and then the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (25 mL \times 3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford the diol (3.39 g, 87%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +13.6° (c 0.59, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) & 7.27 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.64 (AB, J=11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (AB, J=11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.69–3.84 (m, 3H), 3.58 (dd, J=4.4, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.33–2.46 (m, 3H), 2.18 (dd, J=4.0, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.03 (t. J=2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.96–2.02 (m, 1H), 7.27 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 1.08 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3) \delta$ 159.4, 130.3, 129.6, 113.9, 83.8, 83.0, 74.8, 71.5, 69.9, 63.3, 55.3, 34.4, 21.9, 16.3; IR (neat) 3413, 3306, 2936, 1612, 1515, 1249 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₁₆H₂₂O₄Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 301.1416, found 301.1416.

To a 0 °C solution of the diol (3.39 g, 12.2 mmol) in THF (20 mL) and pH 7 buffer (20 mL) was added NaIO₄ (3.13 g, 14.6 mmol). The reaction was stirred for 4 h, quenched with satd aq Na₂S₂O₃ (25 mL), and extracted with EtOAc (25 mL×3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated to afford pure 19 (2.76 g, 92%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +80° (c 2.26, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.65 (app d, J=3.0 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.59 (d, J=11.5 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J=11.5 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.60 (dd, J=3.0, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 2.34-2.36 (m, 2H), 2.11-2.17 (m, 1H), 1.98 (app t, J=2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.04 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 203.5, 159.5, 129.8, 129.2, 113.8, 85.6, 81.9, 72.8, 70.4, 55.2, 34.0, 21.3, 15.3; IR (neat) 3292, 2967, 2837, 1731, 1515, 1249 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₁₅H₁₈O₃Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 269.1154, found 269.1147.

4.1.6. (3R,4S,5R,6R)-3-(Dimethyl-phenyl-silanyl)-5-(4methoxy-benzyloxy)-6-methyl-non-1-en-8-yn-4-ol (21). To a -78 °C slurry of aldehyde **19** (5.95 g, 24.2 mmol) and 4 Å molecular sieves (4.8 g) in toluene (20 mL) was added (S,S)-20²⁷ (61 mL of a 1.0 M solution in toluene, 60.4 mmol). The reaction was stirred at -78 °C for 18 h and then quenched with 2 N NaOH aq (100 mL). The biphasic mixture was filtered through Celite and extracted with EtOAc ($30 \text{ mL} \times 3$). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 21 (9.19 g, 90%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 6^\circ$ (c 2.48, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) & 7.55–7.57 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.36 (m, 3H), 7.25 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.98 (dt, J=10.4, 21.5 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (d, J=10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, J=21.5 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, J=13.0 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J=13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.73-3.77 (m, 1H), 3.31 (dd, J=3.2, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.08-2.18 (m, 1H), 1.91–1.98 (m, 3H), 1.08 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.39 (s, 3H), 0.34 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.3, 137.9, 134.8, 134.1, 130.4, 129.4, 129.0, 127.6, 114.5, 113.9, 85.5, 83.6, 74.9, 71.1, 69.3, 55.3, 39.2, 34.1, 20.3, 17.9, -3.8, -4.2; IR (neat) 3560, 3304, 2961, 1613, 1514 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₂₆H₃₄O₃SiNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 445.2175, found 445.2176.

4.1.7. 1-[(1R,2S,3R)-3-(Dimethyl-phenyl-silanyl)-1-((R)-1-methyl-but-3-ynyl)-2-triethylsilanyloxy-pent-4-enyloxymethyl]-4-methoxy-benzene (7). To a solution of 21 (1.01 g, 2.39 mmol) in DMF (2.5 mL) was added imidazole (0.50 g, 7.4 mmol) and triethyl silvlchloride (1.21 mL, 7.17 mmol). The reaction was heated to 45 °C for 17 h, then quenched with water (15 mL), and extracted with Et_2O (25 mL×3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 7 (1.19 g, 93%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +31° (c 1.30, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.49-7.52 (m, 2H), 7.29-7.35 (m, 3H), 7.18 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.12 (dt, J=10.8, 17.2 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (dd, J=10.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (dd, J=17.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (AB, J=18.8 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (d, J=12.4 Hz, 1H), 4.50-4.70 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.22 (dd, J=3.6, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.31-2.36 (m, 2H), 2.19 (dt, J=3.2, 16.8 Hz, 1H), 2.09–2.13 (m, 1H), 1.94 (app t, J=2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.02 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.91 (t, J=8.4 Hz, 9H), 0.50–0.57 (m, 6H), 0.34 (s, 3H), 0.27 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.1, 138.0, 136.5, 134.3, 130.8, 129.3, 128.9, 127.6, 113.6, 113.2, 85.3, 83.4, 72.6, 71.5, 69.5, 55.2, 37.0, 32.9, 22.8, 17.0, 7.1, 5.6, -3.2, -4.2; IR (neat) 3309, 2956, 2877, 1613, 1514, 1248 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₃₂H₄₈O₃Si₂Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 559.3040, found 559.3044.

4.1.8. (4R,5R)-4-((E)-4- $\{(2S,4S,5R)$ -4-(Dimethyl-phenylsilanyl)-5-[(1S,2R,3R)-2-(4-methoxy-benzyloxy)-3-methyl-1-triethylsilanyloxy-hex-5-ynyl]-tetrahydro-furan-2yl}-but-1-enyl)-2,2-dimethyl-5-vinyl-[1,3]dioxolane (24). A 25-mL round bottom flask was charged with aldehyde 5 (1.06 g, 5.04 mmol), allylsilane 7 (8.12 g, 15.1 mmol), activated 4 Å molecular sieves (2.0 g), and dichloromethane (10 mL). The slurry was stirred at room temperature for 10 min and then cooled to -78 °C. The cooled reaction was then treated with $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ (0.64 mL, 5.04 mmol, freshly distilled from calcium hydride). The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 21 h and then quenched with triethylamine (1 mL). The mixture was diluted with satd aq NaHCO₃ (60 mL) and Et₂O (50 mL) and filtered through Celite. The aqueous phase was extracted with $Et_2O(30 \text{ mL} \times 3)$. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography afforded 24 (1.19 g, 48% (6.27 g of allylsilane 7 was recovered)) as a colorless oil with >20:1 diastereoselectivity: $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 23^\circ$ (c 0.76, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47 (app dd, J=1.6, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.29–7.38 (m, 3H), 7.24 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.74–5.82 (m, 2H), 5.41 (b dd, J=7.2, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (d, J=17.6 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (d, J=10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J=10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J=10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (app dd, J=6.8, 12.4 Hz, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.71 (m, 1H), 3.58 (d, J=5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (app t, J=6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.03-2.34 (m, 5H), 1.94 (t, J=2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.79–1.83 (m, 1H), 1.58–1.69 (m, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.10 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.95 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.51-0.61 (m, 6H), 0.32 (s, 3H), 0.32 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.9, 137.6, 136.4, 134.3, 133.8, 130.9, 129.1, 128.9, 127.8, 125.5, 118.2, 113.2, 108.6, 83.8, 83.2, 82.1, 80.2, 78.5, 77.2, 73.6, 73.1, 69.2, 55.1, 35.2, 34.5, 34.3, 29.3, 27.0,

26.9, 26.2, 22.1, 17.1, 7.1, 5.2, -4.1; IR (neat) 3309, 2955, 2250, 2115, 1614, 1514 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₄₄H₆₆O₆Si₂Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 769.4296, found 769.4307.

4.1.9. (1S,2R,3R)-1-{(2S,5S)-5-[(E)-4-((4R,5R)-2,2-Dimethyl-5-vinyl-[1,3]dioxolan-4-yl)-but-3-enyl]-tetrahydro-furan-2-yl}-3-methyl-1-triethylsilanyloxy-hex-5-yn-**2-ol** (25). To a solution of [3+2] adduct 24 (1.81 g, 2.42 mmol) in DMF (2.5 mL) was added TBAF·3H₂O (3.82 g, 12.1 mmol). The reaction was fitted with a condenser and placed in a 90 °C oil bath for 72 h. Additional TBAF \cdot 3H₂O (2.0 g, 6.34 mmol) was added to the reaction three times during the 72 h period; at hour 8, hour 32, and hour 56. After 72 h, the reaction was diluted with pH 7 buffer (50 mL) and Et₂O (30 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with Et_2O (30 mL×3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford the alcohol product (1.03 g, 85%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +6.4° (c 0.39, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.73-5.81 (m, 2H), 5.41 (app br ddd, J=1.6, 6.0, 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (d, J=16.4 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dd, J=1.2, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (app q, J=10.8 Hz, 2H), 4.03 (app q, J=6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.93 (app q, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (quint., J=6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.45-3.50 (m, 1H), 3.35 (dd, J=2.0, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (br d, J=6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.34 (ddq, J=2.4, 6.8, 16.8 Hz, 2H), 2.05-2.24 (m, 3H), 1.99 (t, J=2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.89–1.95 (m, 1H), 1.78–1.86 (m, 1H), 1.64–1.75 (m, 2H), 1.46–1.60 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.08 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.2, 135.9, 134.2, 130.4, 129.5, 125.9, 118.4, 113.6, 108.7, 83.1, 82.1, 82.0, 81.6, 80.4, 79.2, 73.5, 73.1, 69.9, 55.1, 35.1, 34.2, 31.0, 29.0, 27.0, 27.0, 21.8, 16.2; IR (neat) 3536, 3296, 2984, 2934, 1613, 1514, 1248 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₃₀H₄₂O₆Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 521.2879, found 521.2879.

To a 0 °C solution of the alcohol from the preceding step (1.2 g, 2.41 mmol) and triethylamine (0.67 mL, 4.82 mmol) in dichloromethane (8 mL) was added triethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (0.65 mL, 2.89 mmol). After 5 min the reaction was quenched with satd aq NaHCO₃ (30 mL) and Et₂O (30 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with Et_2O (30 mL×3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford the triethylsilyl ether (1.39 g, 94%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 11^\circ$ (c 0.36, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.75-5.85 (m, 2H), 5.44 (br dd, J=7.2, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (d, J=17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (dd, J=0.8, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (s, 2H), 4.05 (app q, J=6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.93 (app q, J=6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.75–3.79 (m, 1H), 3.74 (dd, J=3.2, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (dd, J=3.2, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.28-2.40 (m, 2H), 2.09-2.24 (m, 3H), 1.97 (t, J=2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.79-1.94 (m, 2H), 1.61-1.70 (m, 2H), 1.51-1.59 (m, 2H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.10 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.55-0.72 (m, 6H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.0, 136.3, 134.3, 131.0, 129.0, 125.7, 118.4, 113.6, 108.8, 83.2, 83.1, 82.2, 82.2, 80.4, 78.2, 75.8, 71.9, 69.6, 55.2, 35.3, 33.2, 31.1, 29.2, 27.8, 27.0, 26.9, 22.5, 16.5, 7.0, 5.2; IR (neat)

3308, 2954, 2875, 1612, 1514, 1247, 1057 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₃₆H₅₆O₆SiNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 635.3744, found 635.3754.

To a 0 °C solution of the triethylsilyl ether (0.621 g, 1.01 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 mL) and pH 7 buffer (1 mL) was added DDQ (0.46 g, 2.02 mmol). The reaction was stirred for 1 h, and then quenched with satd aq NaHCO₃ (40 mL) and Et₂O (30 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (30 mL \times 3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography afforded 25 (0.49 g, 99%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 13^\circ$ (c 0.18, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.74–5.83 (m, 2H), 5.43 (app br ddd, J=1.2, 6.0, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (d, J=17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (dd, J=0.8, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (app q, J=6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.76 (m, 2H), 3.67 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (t, J=9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (d, J=9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (dt, J=3.6, 16.4 Hz, 1H), 2.08-2.20 (m, 2H), 1.95 (t, J=2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.84–1.97 (m, 2H), 1.74– 1.80 (m, 1H), 1.61-1.66 (m, 1H), 1.59-1.46 (m, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 0.99 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.95 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.66 (app dsept., J=7.6, 19.2 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 136.2, 134.3, 125.9, 118.5, 108.8, 83.1, 82.2, 82.2, 81.3, 78.7, 74.5, 74.4, 69.3, 35.7, 35.2, 30.8, 29.2, 27.4, 27.1, 27.0, 22.1, 15.7, 7.0, 5.3; IR (neat) 3524, 3310, 2954, 2875, 1379, 1238, 1054 cm^{-1} ; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₂₈H₄₈O₅SiNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 515.3169, found 515.3171.

4.1.10. (4R,5S)-4-Methyl-3-((E)-(R)-2-methyl-hexa-3,5dienovl)-5-phenyl-oxazolidin-2-one (36). To a -78 °C solution of oxazolidinone **35**³⁹ (8.75 g, 32.2 mmol) in THF (90 mL) was added NaHMDS (8.28 g, 45.1 mmol) in THF (10 mL). The reaction was stirred at -78 °C for 1 h and then treated with methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (5.47 mL, 48.4 mmol). The reaction was quenched after 3 h with satd aq NH₄Cl (100 mL) and Et₂O (50 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with Et_2O (50 mL×3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. Analysis of the crude product by ¹H NMR indicated a 5:1 mixture of diastereomers in favor of 36. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography in 20% Et₂O/hexane (minor isomer, R_f =0.21; major isomer, R_f =0.36) to afford **36** (7.30 g, 79%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 27^\circ$ (*c* 0.55, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35-7.44 (m, 3H), 7.30-7.32 (m, 2H), 6.20-6.37 (m, 2H), 5.83 (dd, J=8.4, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.66 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (app d, J=17.6 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (app d, J=10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (quint., J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (quint., J=6.8 Hz, 1H), 1.33 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.90 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.4, 152.6, 136.5, 133.2, 132.7, 132.6, 128.7, 128.7, 125.6, 117.3, 78.8, 55.1, 40.8, 17.3, 14.5; IR (neat) 2981, 2934, 1782, 1699, 1356, 1197 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₁₇H₂₁NO₄Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 308.1263, found 308.1262.

4.1.11. Tricarbonyl[(4R,5S)-4-methyl-3-((E)-(2S,3R)-2-methyl-hexa-3,5-dienoyl)-5-phenyl-oxazolidin-2one]iron (38) and tricarbonyl[(4R,5S)-4-methyl-3-((E)-(2S,3S)-2-methyl-hexa-3,5-dienoyl)-5-phenyl-oxazolidin-2-one]iron (37). To a solution of oxazolidinone 36 (2.0 g, 7.0 mmol) in benzene (23 mL) was added diiron(nonacarbonyl) (3.8 g, 10.5 mmol). The reaction was fitted with a condenser and refluxed for a total of 24 h. Additional diiron(nonacarbonyl) (1.5 g, 4.12 mmol) and benzene (10 mL) were added to the reaction at hour 6 and hour 20. After 24 h, the reaction was cooled to room temperature, filtered through Celite with an Et₂O (25 mL) wash, and concentrated to afford a 1:1 mixture of **38** and **37**. The crude product mixture was separated by flash column chromatography (10% Et₂O/hexanes to 40% Et₂O/hexanes with **37** (0.78 g) eluting before **38** (0.71 g), **38** and **37** combined yield of 50%).

Data for **38** (yellow solid): R_f =0.33 (30% Et₂O/hexane); [α]₂₅²⁵ +8° (*c* 0.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.36–7.45 (m, 3H), 7.36 (app d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 2H), 5.71 (d, *J*=7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (dd, *J*=4.8, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 5.22–5.27 (m, 1H), 4.76 (quint., *J*=6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.82–3.40 (m, 1H), 1.78 (app dd, *J*=1.6, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 1.37 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.15 (app t, *J*=8.8 Hz, 1H), 0.88 (d, *J*=6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.39 (app dd, *J*=2.0, 9.6 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.8, 175.2, 152.9, 133.2, 128.9, 128.8, 125.7, 86.4, 81.9, 78.9, 64.7, 55.1, 41.0, 40.4, 19.9, 14.5; IR (neat) 2984, 2047, 1970, 1779, 1697, 1355 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) *m/z* for C₂₀H₁₉FeNO₆Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 448.0459, found 448.0464.

Data for **37** (yellow solid): R_f =0.50 (30% Et₂O/hexane); [α]₂₅²⁵ -83° (*c* 0.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.30–7.45 (m, 5H), 5.71 (d, *J*=7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.28–5.33 (m, 2H), 4.84 (quint., *J*=6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.65–3.78 (m, 1H), 1.40 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.36 (app dd, *J*=7.6, 10.0 Hz, 3H), 0.92 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.45 (app dd, *J*=2.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.4, 174.6, 152.6, 133.2, 128.7, 125.6, 87.1, 82.7, 79.2, 62.9, 55.4, 43.4, 40.4, 26.3, 22.3, 14.3; IR (neat) 2977, 2046, 1978, 1782, 1700, 1342 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) *m*/*z* for C₂₀H₁₉FeNO₆Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 448.0459, found 448.0462.

4.1.12. Tricarbonyl[(*E*)-(2*S*,3*R*)-2-methyl-hexa-3,5-dienoic acid]iron (9). To a 0 °C solution of oxazolidinone **38** (1.15 g, 2.70 mmol) in THF (21 mL) and water (7 mL) was added LiOH (0.194 g, 8.11 mmol). The reaction was stirred for 1.5 h and then quenched with 1 M HCl (25 mL) and Et₂O (25 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with Et_2O (25 mL×3). The organic phase was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude carboxylic acid was purified by flash column chromatography to afford **9** (0.423 g, 58%) as a yellow solid: $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 11^\circ$ (c 0.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.40 (br s, 1H), 5.38-5.44 (m, 1H), 5.25-5.30 (m, 1H), 2.32 (br s, 1H), 1.81 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 1H), 1.35 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (app t, J=9.2 Hz, 1H), 0.38 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 211.1, 181.1, 87.1, 82.3, 63.1, 44.0,$ 40.5, 19.0; IR (neat) 2983, 2049, 1971, 1705 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI+) m/z for C₉H₁₀FeO₄ [M-CO]⁺ calcd 237.9928, found 237.9918. The spectroscopic data obtained for 9 were fully consistent with data for racemic 9 previously published by Donaldson.40

4.1.13. Tricarbonyl[(E)-(2S,3S)-2-methyl-hexa-3,5-dienoic acid]iron (10). To a room temperature solution of oxazolidinone **37** (1.08 g, 2.54 mmol) in THF (18 mL) and water (6 mL) was added LiOH (0.304 g, 12.7 mmol). The reaction was stirred for 6.5 h and then quenched with 1 M HCl (25 mL) and Et₂O (25 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (25 mL×3). The organic phase was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude carboxylic acid was purified by flash column chromatography to afford **10** (0.421 g, 62%) as a yellow solid: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ –140° (*c* 0.39, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.26 (br s, 1H), 5.22–5.30 (m, 2H), 2.37–2.45 (m, 1H), 1.74–1.78 (m, 1H), 1.38 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.05 (app dd, *J*=8.0, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 0.36 (app dd, *J*=4.4, 8.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.0, 181.0, 86.4, 82.2, 62.1, 45.2, 39.8, 21.4; IR (neat) 2979, 2934, 2049, 1974,1708 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI+) *m/z* for C₉H₁₀FeO₄ [M–CO]⁺ calcd 237.9928, found 237.9924.

4.1.14. (E)-(R)-2-Methyl-hexa-3,5-dienoic acid (13). To a 0 °C solution of oxazolidinone 36 (0.536 g, 1.88 mmol) and 30% (w/w) H₂O₂ (2.3 mL, 22.6 mmol) in THF (6.3 mL) was added LiOH (0.24 g, 5.6 mmol). The reaction was stirred for 1.5 h and then guenched with 1 M HCl (20 mL) and Et₂O (20 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with Et_2O (20 mL×3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 13 (0.151 g, 64%): $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ -47° (c 1.05, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.07 (br s, 1H), 6.34 (dt, J=10.5, 17.0 Hz, 1H), 6.17 (dd, J=10.5, 15.0 Hz, 1H), 5.77 (dd, J=8.0, 15.5 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (d, J=18.0 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (quint., J=7.5 Hz, 1H), 1.32 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 181.1, 136.4, 132.6, 132.0, 118.1, 117.4, 42.6, 17.0; IR (neat) 3088, 2980, 1709, 1414, 1212, 1003 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z for C₇H₁₀NO₂Na [M-H]⁻ calcd 125.0608, found 125.0607.

4.2. 2-epi-Amphidinolide E (2) series

4.2.1. Tricarbonyl[(E)-(2R,3S)-2-methyl-hexa-3,5-dienoic acid (1R,2R)-1-((R)-{(2S,5S)-5-[(E)-4-((4R,5R)-2,2dimethyl-5-vinyl-[1,3]dioxolan-4-yl)-but-3-enyl]-tetrahydro-furan-2-yl}-triethylsilanyloxy-methyl)-2-methylpent-4-ynyl ester]iron (39). To 0 °C solution of 25 (0.435 g, 0.883 mmol), 10 (0.332 g, 1.24 mmol), triethylamine (0.37 mL, 2.65 mmol), and DMAP (0.108 g, 0.883 mmol) in THF (1.8 mL) was added 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride (0.19 mL, 1.24 mmol). The reddish-brown solution was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h and allowed to warm to room temperature over another 1 h. After complete consumption of 25 was observed by TLC analysis, the reaction was quenched with satd aq NaHCO₃ (30 mL) and Et₂O (30 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with $Et_2O(25 \text{ mL} \times 3)$. The organic phase was washed with satd aq NH₄Cl, brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography afforded **39** (0.614 g, 94%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 3.8^\circ$ (c 0.87, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.75–5.85 (m, 2H), 5.40-5.48 (m, 1H), 5.35-5.39 (m, 1H), 5.33 (d, J=16.4 Hz, 1H), 5.22–5.29 (m, 1H), 5.24 (d, J=10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.03-4.08 (m, 2H), 3.67-3.78 (m, 1H), 3.69 (dd, J=2.0, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (app q, J=6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.00–2.34 (m, 7H), 1.96 (t, J=2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.77-1.94 (m, 3H), 1.59-1.69 (m, 1H), 1.50-1.59 (m, 1H), 1.40-1.50 (m, 1H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.34 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.09 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.92–1.02 (m,

1H), 0.96 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 9H), 0.57–0.73 (m, 6H), 0.35 (br d, J=9.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.1, 173.5, 135.9, 134.2, 125.9, 118.2, 108.7, 87.0, 82.2, 82.1, 82.1, 80.4, 78.4, 77.3, 75.1, 69.7, 63.8, 44.5, 40.3, 35.1, 33.3, 30.8, 29.1, 27.6, 27.0, 27.0, 22.0, 19.3, 16.1, 6.9, 5.3; IR (neat) 3311, 2954, 2050, 1980, 1732, 1237 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₃₈H₅₆FeO₉SiNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 763.2941, found 763.2955.

4.2.2. (E)-(S)-2-Methyl-hexa-3,5-dienoic acid (1R,2R)-1- $((R) - \{(2S, 5S) - 5 - [(E) - 4 - ((4R, 5R) - 2, 2 - dimethyl - 5 - vinyl - 3) - 3, (2S, 5S) - 5 - [(E) - 4 - ((4R, 5R) - 2, 2 - dimethyl - 5 - vinyl - 3) - 3, (2S, 5S) - 5 - [(E) - 4 - ((4R, 5R) - 2, 2 - dimethyl - 5 - vinyl - 3) - 3, (2S, 5S) - 5 - [(E) - 4 - ((4R, 5R) - 2, 2 - dimethyl - 5 - vinyl - 3) - 3, (2S, 5S) - 5 - [(E) - 4 - ((4R, 5R) - 2, 2 - dimethyl - 5 - vinyl - 3) - 3, (2S, 5S) - 5 - [(E) - 4 - ((4R, 5R) - 2, 2 - dimethyl - 5 - vinyl - 3) - 3, (2S, 5S) - 5 - [(E) - 4 - ((4R, 5R) - 2, 2 - dimethyl - 5 - vinyl - 3) - 3, (2S, 5S) - 5 - [(E) - 4 - ((4R, 5R) - 2, 2 - dimethyl - 5 - vinyl - 3) - 3, (2S, 5S) - 5 - [(E) - 4 - ((4R, 5R) - 2, 2 - dimethyl - 5 - vinyl - 3) - 3, (2S, 5S) - 5 - [(E) - 4 - ((4R, 5R) - 2, 2 - dimethyl - 5 - vinyl - 3) - 3, (2S, 5S) - 5 - [(E) - 4 - ((4R, 5R) - 2, 2 - dimethyl - 5 - vinyl - 3) - 3, (2S, 5S) - 5 - [(E) - 4 - ((4R, 5R) - 2, 2 - dimethyl - 5 - vinyl - 3) - 3, (2S, 5S) - 5 - [(E) - 4 - ((4R, 5R) - 2, 2 - dimethyl - 5 - vinyl - 3) - 3, (2S, 5S) - 5 - [(E) - 4 - ((4R, 5R) - 2, 2 - dimethyl - 5 - vinyl - 3) - 3, (2S, 5S) - 5 - [(E) - 4 - ((4R, 5R) - 2, 3 - 2, 3 - dimethyl - 5 - vinyl - 3) - 3, (2S, 5S) - 5 - [(E) - 4 - ((4R, 5R) - 2, 3 - 2, 3 - dimethyl - 5 - vinyl - 3) - 3, (2S, 5S) - 3, (2S, 5S)$ [1,3]dioxolan-4-yl)-but-3-enyl]-tetrahydro-furan-2-yl}triethylsilanyloxy-methyl)-2-methyl-pent-4-ynyl ester (73). To a 0 °C solution of 39 (0.574 g, 0.775 mmol) in acetone (8 mL) was added cerium ammonium nitrate (CAN) (0.935 g, 1.70 mmol). The reaction was stirred for 1.5 h, then quenched with triethylamine (2 mL), and diluted with satd aq NaHCO₃ (50 mL) and Et₂O (50 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with Et_2O (30 mL×3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 73 (0.447 g, 96%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 22^\circ$ (c 0.98, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 6.31 \text{ (dt, } J=10.0, 17.2, 1\text{H}), 6.15 \text{ (dd,}$ J=10.4, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.72–5.85 (m, 3H), 5.40–5.49 (m, 1H), 5.33 (d, J=16.4 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (d, J=16.2, 1H), 5.07 (d, J=11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (app dd, J=2.4, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.02-4.08 (m, 2H), 3.57-3.77 (m, 3H), 3.21 (quint., J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.96–2.26 (m, 5H), 1.94 (t, J=2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.77-1.98 (m, 2H), 1.58-1.68 (m, 1H), 1.37-1.58 (m, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.30 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.08 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.57–0.73 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.4, 136.2, 135.8, 134.2, 132.3, 132.1, 125.8, 118.1, 116.8, 108.6, 82.1, 82.1, 82.0, 80.3, 78.3, 77.2, 75.1, 69.6, 42.9, 35.0, 33.2, 30.8, 29.0, 27.5, 26.9, 26.8, 21.8, 17.0, 16.0, 6.8, 5.2; IR (neat) 3311, 2953, 2876, 2050, 1983, 1738, 1732, 1240 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₃₅H₅₆O₆SiNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 623.3744, found 623.3737.

4.2.3. (4E,11E,13E)-(1S,6R,10R,15S,18R,19R,20S)-8,8,15-Trimethyl-18-((*R*)-1-methyl-but-3-ynyl)-19-triethylsilanyloxy-7,9,17,23-tetraoxa-tricyclo[18.2.1.0^{6,10}]tricosa-4,11,13-trien-16-one (40). To a solution of polyene 73 (50 mg, 0.083 mmol) in dichloromethane (83 mL) was added Grubbs' first generation catalyst (14 mg, 0.017 mmol) in dichloromethane (2 mL). The reaction was fitted with a condenser, refluxed for 18 h, and condensed. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 40 (29 mg, 60%) as a colorless oil. In addition, an inseparable mixture of products thought to arrive by enyne metathesis (7 mg, 15%) was also isolated. Spectroscopic data for **40**: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ -57° (c 0.57, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.15–6.26 (m, 2H), 5.93 (dd, J=4.8, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 5.71 (ddd, J=3.6, 9.6, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.56 (dd, J=8.4, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (dd, J=8.0, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J=9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (dt, J=8.8, 21.2 Hz, 2H), 3.68 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.28-3.37 (m, 1H), 3.18-3.28 (m, 2H), 2.19-2.36 (m, 3H), 1.82-2.03 (m, 3H), 1.62-1.73 (m, 1H), 1.40–1.55 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 6H), 1.31 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.13-1.28 (m, 3H), 1.06 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.95 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.53–0.70 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) & 172.5, 138.1, 135.9, 134.6, 128.9, 127.5, 125.9,

5787

109.0, 83.1, 82.8, 82.2, 79.9, 78.5, 77.2, 75.0, 69.4, 43.3, 33.1, 32.1, 29.6, 28.5, 27.2, 27.1, 27.1, 22.3, 15.5, 12.0, 7.1, 5.6; IR (neat) 3310, 2935, 2874, 1726, 1238, 1052 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) *m*/*z* for $C_{33}H_{52}O_6SiNa$ [M+Na]⁺ calcd 595.3431, found 595.3438.

4.2.4. (4*E*,11*E*,13*E*)-(1*S*,6*R*,10*R*,15*S*,18*R*,19*R*,20*S*)-18-((*R*)-3-Iodo-1-methyl-but-3-enyl)-8,8,15-trimethyl-19triethylsilanyloxy-7,9,17,23-tetraoxa-tricyclo[18.2.1.0^{6,10}]tricosa-4,11,13-trien-16-one (41). To a 0 °C solution of *i*-Pr₂NH (0.45 mL, 3.2 mmol) in THF (3 mL) was added *n*-BuLi (1.24 mL of a 2.41 M solution in hexanes, 3.0 mmol). The reaction was allowed to stir for 30 min and then cooled to -30 °C. To this mixture was added Bu₃SnH (0.80 mL, 3.0 mmol). After 1 h, Et₂AlCl (1.7 mL of a 1.8 M solution in toluene, 3.0 mmol) was added. The reaction was stirred at -30 °C for another 1.5 h and then used immediately in the stannylalumination–protonolysis of 40.

To a -30 °C solution of 40 (23 mg, 0.40 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added Bu₃SnAlEt₂ (0.57 mL of the 0.42 M solution from above, 0.24 mmol), followed by CuCN (1 mg, 0.012 mmol). The bright orange solution was stirred for 1 h at -30 °C, then quenched with satd aq NH₄Cl (20 mL) and Et₂O (20 mL). This mixture was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 15 min. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (10 mL \times 3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography afforded the vinylstannane product (18 mg, 51%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 78^\circ$ (c 0.12, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.15–6.28 (m, 2H), 5.96 (dd, J=4.8, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 5.72 (ddd, J=3.2, 9.2, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.64 (app t, ${}^{3}J_{Sn-H}$ =69.2 Hz, 1H), 5.57 (dd, J=8.4, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (dd, J=8.4, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (app t, ${}^{3}J_{\text{Sn-H}}$ =31.6 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (app dt, J=8.8, 20.4 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.28-3.36 (m, 1H), 3.18-3.28 (m, 2H), 2.28-2.40 (m, 3H), 1.82-2.13 (m, 4H), 1.62-1.74 (m, 1H), 1.40-1.55 (qm, 7H), 1.44 (s, 6H), 1.13-1.38 (m, 11H), 0.85-1.00 (m, 24H), 0.79 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.55–0.70 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.6, 153.9, 138.2, 136.0, 134.9, 131.1, 128.7, 127.3, 127.0, 125.8, 109.0, 83.1, 82.3, 80.1, 79.9, 74.9, 45.3, 43.3, 32.7, 32.1, 29.6, 29.2, 29.1, 29.0, 28.5, 27.4, 27.2, 27.1, 14.8, 13.7, 12.0, 9.5, 7.1, 5.6; IR (neat) 2955, 1727, 1378, 1239, 1052 cm^{-1} ; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₄₅H₈₀O₆SiSnNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 887.4644, found 887.4666.

To a -45 °C solution of the vinylstannane from the preceding step (20 mg, 0.023 mmol) in dichloromethane (1 mL) was added NIS (6 mg, 0.03 mmol). The reaction was stirred at -45 °C for 1 h, then quenched with satd aq Na₂S₂O₃ (30 mL), and extracted with Et₂O (20 mL×3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford **41** (15 mg, 93%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{12}^{25}$ -72° (*c* 0.43, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.17–6.27 (m, 2H), 6.02 (s, 1H), 5.93 (dd, *J*=5.2, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (ddd, *J*=3.6, 9.6, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.72 (s, 1H), 5.58 (dd, *J*=8.8, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (dd, *J*=8.4, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, *J*=9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (app dt, *J*=8.8, 23.6 Hz, 2H), 3.72 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.28–3.35 (m, 1H), 3.19–3.28 (m, 2H), 2.29–2.50 (m, 3H), 1.82–2.05 (m, 3H), 1.65–1.73 (m, 1H), 1.45–1.57 (m, 1H), 1.43 (s, 6H), 1.33 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.12–1.27 (m, 3H), 0.98 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.86 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.56–0.72 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.8, 138.1, 136.0, 134.5, 129.0, 127.5, 127.0, 125.8, 111.5, 109.0, 83.1, 82.2, 80.0, 78.6, 74.9, 48.3, 43.3, 32.8, 32.0, 29.6, 28.5, 27.2, 27.1, 27.1, 14.6, 12.0, 7.2, 5.7; IR (neat) 2933, 2873, 1723, 1239, 1052 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₃₃H₅₃IO₆SiNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 723.2554, found 723.25563.

4.2.5. 2-epi-Amphidinolide E (2). A solution of vinyl iodide **41** (44 mg, 0.063 mmol) in a mixture of AcOH, THF, and water (4:1:1 ratio) (1.5 mL) was heated to 40 °C for 7 h. The mixture was then carefully poured into a separatory funnel containing Et₂O (40 mL) and satd aq NaHCO₃ (60 mL). The pH of the aqueous layer was adjusted to ~7 using solid NaHCO₃. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (20 mL×3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. Analysis of the crude product by ¹H NMR indicated a 10:1 mixture of the desired C(18) and undesired C(17) lactones.

To the crude mixture of vinyl iodide-containing lactones (from above) and CuCl (23 mg, 0.23 mmol) in THF (0.5 mL) was added vinylstannane 6^{12} (78 mg, 0.21 mmol), followed by Pd(PPh₃)₄ (10 mg, 0.0084 mmol) in THF (0.5 mL). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 26 h, and then diluted with Et₂O (30 mL), filtered through Celite, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography using 10% methanol/ chloroform to afford material that was still contaminated with an organotin impurity. The stannane impurity was removed by HPLC purification with 100% ethyl acetate eluent on a normal phase, Varian Dynamax Microsorb 60-8 Si, 250×21.4 mm column. The retention time for 2-epi-amphidinolide E was 8 min. The flow rate was 18 mL/min. 2-epi-Amphidinolide E was detected using UV absorption ($\lambda = 254$ and 280 nm) and RI detection. Using the above conditions 11 mg (34% from 41) of pure 2-epi-amphidinolide E (2) was isolated: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 80^{\circ}$ (c 0.15, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.14–6.25 (m, 2H), 6.04 (d, J=16.0 Hz, 1H), 5.95-6.04 (m, 1H), 5.54-5.74 (m, 3H), 5.30 (dd, J=7.2, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (s, 1H), 4.86 (s, 1H), 4.74 (s, 1H), 4.70 (s, 1H), 4.66 (d, J=9.6 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (app dt, J=8.4, 19.2 Hz, 2H), 3.69 (app t, J=5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.46-3.53 (m, 1H), 3.40-3.46 (m, 1H), 3.35 (quint., J=5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.72–2.84 (m, 2H), 2.22–2.48 (m, 5H), 1.60-1.95 (m, 5H), 1.72 (s, 3H), 1.25-1.52 (m, 4H), 1.34 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.91 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.9, 144.7, 144.1, 134.8, 134.2, 134.1, 133.4, 131.2, 129.7, 129.6, 128.2, 116.1, 110.9, 79.9, 78.7, 77.9, 77.3, 76.6, 73.2, 43.1, 41.5, 36.3, 32.8, 32.3, 30.2, 29.1, 27.5, 22.7, 15.5, 13.4; IR (neat) 3413, 2933, 1723, 1454, 1238, 992 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/ z for $C_{30}H_{44}O_6Na$ [M+Na]⁺ calcd 523.3036, found 523.3016.

4.3. Synthesis of 19-epi-series precursors

4.3.1. (1*R*,2*S*)-1-((*S*)-2,2-Diethyl-[1,3]dioxolan-4-yl)-2-methyl-but-3-en-1-ol (60). To a -78 °C slurry of

L-glyceraldehyde pentylidene ketal **58**²⁴ (12.1 g, 76.5 mmol) and 4 Å molecular sieves (9 g) in toluene (100 mL) was added Z-(S,S)-crotylboronate 59^{23} (153 mL of a 1.0 M solution in toluene, 153 mmol). The reaction was stirred at -78 °C for 18 h and then guenched with 2 N NaOH ag (300 mL). The biphasic mixture was filtered through Celite and extracted with EtOAc (75 mL \times 3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 60 (15.4 g, 94%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 45^{\circ}$ (c 4.6, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.71 (ddd, J=8.0, 10.0, 17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.04 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1H), 5.00 (s, 1H), 4.00-4.08 (m, 1H), 3.94 (app t, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (app t, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.60-3.66 (m, 1H), 2.18-2.28 (m, 2H), 1.52-1.68 (m, 4H), 1.06 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.80–0.91 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 140.2, 115.4, 112.4, 76.8, 73.8, 65.1, 40.7, 29.1, 15.3, 8.2, 7.9; IR (neat) 3481, 2974, 1641, 1463 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₁₂H₂₂O₃Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 237.1467, found 237.1460.

4.3.2. (3S,4R)-4-((S)-2,2-Diethyl-[1,3]dioxolan-4-yl)-4-(4methoxy-benzyloxy)-3-methyl-butan-1-ol (61). Protection of alcohol 60 as a p-methoxybenzyl ether was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 16 to 17: NaH (3.45 g, 144 mmol), NaI (2.7 g, 18.0 mmol), THF (200 mL), alcohol 60 (15.4 g, 71.9 mmol), and p-methoxybenzyl chloride (6.38 mL, 47.0 mmol) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford the *p*-methoxybenzyl ether (23.8 g, 99%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 34^\circ$ (c 2.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25 (d, J=9.2 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, J=9.2 Hz, 2H), 5.88 (ddd, J=7.2, 10.0, 17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.07 (d, J=17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (d, J=10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (AB, J=10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (AB, J=10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (ddd, J=6.4, 7.6, 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (dd, J=6.0, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.78-3.83 (m, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.51 (t, J=5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.38–2.48 (m, 1H), 1.55–1.72 (m, 4H), 1.08 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.87–0.93 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.1, 141.3, 130.7, 129.3, 114.4, 113.7, 112.5, 82.4, 76.9, 74.0, 66.5, 55.2, 40.2, 29.7, 29.0, 15.0, 8.2, 8.1; IR (neat) 2972, 1614, 1514, 1248 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₂₀H₃₀O₄Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 357.2042, found 357.2039.

The hydroboration-oxidation of the *p*-methoxybenzyl ether compound was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 16 to 17: the *p*-methoxybenzyl ether (23.8 g, 71.2 mmol), THF (50 mL), and 9-BBN (430 mL of a 0.5 M THF solution, 136 mmol) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 61 (24.8 g, 99%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} -21^\circ$ (c 2.2, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.24 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.55 (s, 2H), 4.14 (app q, J=6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (dd, J=5.2, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.79 (app q, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.69-3.76 (m, 1H), 3.60-3.69 (m, 1H), 3.48 (dd, J=2.8, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 1.98-2.06 (m, 1H), 1.72-1.82 (m, 1H), 1.59-1.70 (m, 4H), 1.50-1.59 (m, 1H), 0.99 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (q, J=7.2 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.2, 130.5, 129.3, 113.8, 112.5, 83.1, 76.7, 73.6, 67.7, 61.2, 55.3, 36.5, 32.5, 29.8, 29.0, 15.2, 8.2, 8.2; IR (neat) 3418, 2934, 2245, 1614 cm^{-1} ;

HRMS (ES+) m/z for $C_{20}H_{32}O_5Na$ [M+Na]⁺ calcd 375.2147, found 375.2144.

4.3.3. (S)-2,2-Diethyl-4-[(1R,2S)-1-(4-methoxy-benzyloxy)-2-methyl-pent-4-ynyl]-[1,3]dioxolane (62). The oxidation of 61 was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 17 to 18: alcohol 61 (24.8 g, 70.4 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (240 mL), DMSO (15.3 mL, 216 mmol), *i*-Pr₂NEt (39 mL, 216 mmol), and SO_3 · pyridine (34 g, 216 mmol) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford the aldehyde (24.4 g, 99%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 18^\circ$ (c 1.6, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.73 (s, 1H), 7.22 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.51 (AB, J=10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (AB, J=11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.30-4.11 (m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.72–3.80 (m, 1H), 3.45 (br dd, J=2.8, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.45-2.61 (m, 2H), 2.30-2.45 (m, 1H), 1.55-1.70 (m, 4H), 1.03 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.85-0.93 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 202.0, 159.2, 130.2, 129.3, 113.7, 112.6, 82.2, 76.5, 73.2, 67.9, 55.2, 47.6, 30.3, 29.7, 28.9, 15.0, 8.1, 8.1; IR (neat) 2972, 1724, 1514, 1249 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₂₀H₃₀O₅Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 373.1991, found 373.1985.

The Corev-Fuchs homologation of the aldehyde was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 17 to 18: the aldehyde (24.4 g, 69 mmol), PPh₃ (30.9 g, 118 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (235 mL), CBr₄ (23.4 g, 70.6 mmol), and n-BuLi (46 mL of 2.48 M hexane solution, 113 mmol) were used. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography afforded 62 (9.6 g, 59%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 8.5^{\circ}$ (c 1.8, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.59 (AB, J=10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (AB, J=10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.30-4.11 (m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.75-3.82 (m, 1H), 3.70 (br dd, J=3.2, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (ddd, J=2.4, 8.0, 16.4 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (ddd, J=2.4, 6.8, 16.4 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (dq, J=4.0, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.02 (t, J=2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.58-1.70 (m, 4H), 1.02 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.90 (app dt, J=7.6, 9.6 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.2, 130.6, 129.2, 113.7, 112.5, 83.2, 81.0, 76.8, 74.1, 69.6, 67.6, 55.1, 35.2, 29.0, 23.1, 14.2, 8.1; IR (neat) 3294, 2972, 1613, 1515, 1249 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₂₁H₃₀O₄Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 369.2042, found 369.2033.

4.3.4. (2R,3S)-2-(4-Methoxy-benzyloxy)-3-methyl-hex-5ynal (63). The deprotection of 62 was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 18 to 19: alkyne 62 (22.5 g, 64.9 mmol) and a 4:1 mixture of AcOH and water (215 mL). The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford the diol product (16.9 g, 93%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} + 0.08^{\circ}$ (c 1.3, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.61 (AB, J=10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (AB, J=10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.70-3.82 (m, 3H), 3.65 (dd, J=4.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.19-2.35 (m, 3H), 2.04–2.11 (m, 1H), 2.03 (t, J=2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.94 (b t, J=6.0 Hz, 1H), 1.08 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.2, 130.3, 129.4, 113.8, 83.1, 81.1, 74.3, 71.8, 69.9, 63.8, 55.2, 34.3, 23.3, 14.4; IR (neat) 3401, 3294, 2935, 1614, 1515, 1250, 1074, 1035 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₁₆H₂₂O₄Na [M+Na]⁴ calcd 301.1416, found 301.1418.

Oxidative cleavage of the diol above was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 18 to 19: the diol product from the previous step (16.9 g, 60.7 mmol), THF (100 mL), pH 7 buffer (100 mL), and NaIO₄ (15.6 g, 72.9 mmol) were used. The product was used without further purification. Compound 63 (12.1 g, 81%) was a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 69^\circ$ (c 1.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.70 (d, J=1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.66 (d, J=11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J=11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (br dd, J=1.2, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 2.18–2.38 (m, 3H), 2.00 (t, J=2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.00 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H): ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 204.2, 159.3, 129.6, 129.3, 113.7, 84.5, 82.1, 72.7, 70.2, 55.0, 34.7, 22.2, 14.0; IR (neat) 3291, 2936, 1731, 1514, 1250 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₁₅H₁₈O₃Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 269.1154, found 269.1146.

4.3.5. (3R,4S,5R,6S)-3-(Dimethyl-phenyl-silanyl)-5-(4methoxy-benzyloxy)-6-methyl-non-1-en-8-yn-4-ol (64). Hydroxyallylsilane 64 was synthesized using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 19 to 21: aldehyde 63 (11.5 g, 46.7 mmol), 4 Å molecular sieves (8.6 g), toluene (80 mL), and (S,S)-20 (110 mL of a 1.0 M solution in toluene, 110 mmol) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford **64** (17.7 g, 90%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 12^\circ$ (c 0.8, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) & 7.52-7.56 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.38 (m, 3H), 7.24 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.98 (dt, J=10.4, 17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.02 (dd, J=2.0, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (dd, J=2.0, 17.2 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (AB, J=10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (AB, J=10.4 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.65 (br dt, J=2.4, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (br dd, J=2.8, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.28–2.31 (m, 1H), 2.20 (ddg, J=2.4, 7.2, 16.8 Hz, 2H), 1.98 (t, J=2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.85–1.92 (m, 1H), 1.82 (br d, J=10.8 Hz, 1H), 0.82 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.38 (s, 3H), 0.31 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.2, 137.8, 134.1, 134.0, 130.6, 129.3, 128.9, 127.6, 114.8, 113.9, 83.8, 83.3, 75.1, 71.6, 69.5, 55.2, 38.3, 34.1, 23.8, 13.4, -3.8, -4.3; IR (neat) 3564, 3303, 2963, 1613, 1514, 1248 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₂₆H₃₄O₃SiNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 445.2175, found 445.2173.

4.3.6. 1-[(1*R*,2*S*,3*R*)-3-(Dimethyl-phenyl-silanyl)-1-((*S*)-1-methyl-but-3-ynyl)-2-triethylsilanyloxy-pent-4-enyloxymethyl]-4-methoxy-benzene (8). Allylsilane 8 was protected as the triethylsilyl ether using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 21 to 7: 64 (0.53 g, 1.3 mmol), DMF (45 mL), imidazole (0.26 g, 3.8 mmol), and triethylsilyl chloride (0.64 mL, 3.8 mmol) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford $\mathbf{8}$ (0.60 g, 89%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +9.0° (c 1.4, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.48–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.29–7.38 (m, 3H), 7.18 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.05 (dt, J=10.4, 17.2 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (dd, J=2.0, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (dd, J=2.0, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J=11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (d, J=11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (dd, J=2.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.20 (t, J=5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.28 (dq, J=2.4, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.18 (dd, J=1.6, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 1.92-2.10 (m, 2H), 1.91 (t, J=2.4 Hz, 1H), 0.96 (d, J=6.0 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.46–0.56 (m, 6H), 0.35 (s, 3H), 0.30 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.9, 138.0, 135.7, 134.2, 131.1, 128.9, 128.8, 127.6, 114.3, 113.5, 84.5, 83.8, 73.1, 72.6, 69.1, 55.2, 38.2, 33.8, 23.8, 15.3, 7.1, 5.5, -3.1, -4.1; IR (neat) 3310, 2956, 1614, 1514, 1248, 1112 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) *m*/*z* for C₃₂H₄₈O₃Si₂Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 559.3040, found 559.3030.

4.3.7. (4*R*,5*R*)-4-((*E*)-4-{(2*S*,4*S*,5*R*)-4-(Dimethyl-phenylsilanyl)-5-[(1S,2R,3S)-2-(4-methoxy-benzyloxy)-3-methyl-1-triethylsilanyloxy-hex-5-ynyl]-tetrahydro-furan-2yl}-but-1-enyl)-2,2-dimethyl-5-vinyl-[1,3]dioxolane (65). Tetrahydrofuran 65 was synthesized using a procedure analogous to that outlined for 24: aldehyde 5 (0.079 g, 0.37 mmol), allylsilane 8 (0.60 g, 1.12 mmol), activated 4 Å molecular sieves (0.15 g), dichloromethane (0.75 mL), and BF₃·OEt₂ (47 µL, 0.37 mmol) were used. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography afforded **65** (0.171 g, 61%; 0.43 g of allylsilane **8** was recovered) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 19^\circ$ (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45-7.50 (m, 2H), 7.36-7.35 (m, 3H), 7.24 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.72-5.84 (m, 2H), 5.42 (br dd, J=6.8, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (d, J=17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (d, J=10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (d, J=11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J=11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.00-4.08 (m, 2H), 3.96 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.66-3.75 (m, 1H), 3.64 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (br dd, J=4.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.32–2.42 (m, 1H), 2.21–2.25 (m, 4H), 1.94 (s, 1H), 1.79–1.89 (m, 1H), 1.56–1.74 (m, 3H), 1.36–1.48 (m, 1H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 0.90-1.00 (m, 12H), 0.54-0.64 (m, 6H), 0.35 (s, 3H), 0.33 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.7, 137.7, 136.4, 134.3, 133.9, 131.3, 129.1, 128.6, 127.8, 125.6, 118.3, 113.5, 108.7, 84.2, 82.7, 82.2, 82.1, 81.2, 78.0, 74.0, 73.7, 69.1, 55.1, 35.2, 34.7, 34.5, 29.2, 27.0, 26.9, 25.4, 23.5, 14.9, 7.1, 5.3, -3.7, -4.4; IR (neat) 3308, 2955, 1614, 1514, 1248 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₄₄H₆₆O₆Si₂Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 769.4296, found 769.4307.

4.3.8. (1R,2R,3S)-1-{(2S,5S)-5-[(E)-4-((4R,5R)-2,2-Dimethyl-5-vinyl-[1,3]dioxolan-4-yl)-but-3-enyl]-tetrahydro-furan-2-yl}-2-(4-methoxy-benzyloxy)-3-methyl-hex-5-yn-1-ol (66). The protiodesilylation of 65 was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 24 to 25: [3+2] adduct 65 (1.26 g, 1.69 mmol), DMF (1.7 mL), and TBAF \cdot 3H₂O (4.66 g, 14.8 mmol, added portionwise) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford **66** (0.68 g, 81%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$ -4.1° (c 0.09, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.27 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.73-5.83 (m, 2H), 5.42 (br dd, J=6.0, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (d, J=16.8 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (d, J=10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (app q, J=10.8 Hz, 2H), 4.01-4.07 (m, 2H), 3.90 (br dt, J=4.8, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (br t, J=6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.46–3.57 (m, 2H), 2.52–2.57 (m, 1H), 2.30 (ddq, J=2.8, 7.2, 16.8 Hz, 2H), 2.08-2.20 (m, 3H), 1.99 (t, J=2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.88-1.96 (m, 1H), 1.64-1.86 (m, 3H), 1.46-1.62 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.06 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.2, 136.0, 134.3, 130.6, 129.5, 126.0, 118.5, 113.8, 108.8, 83.2, 82.2, 82.2, 81.0, 80.2, 79.3, 73.7, 73.7, 69.5, 55.2, 35.1, 35.0, 31.1, 29.1, 27.7, 27.1, 27.0, 22.8, 15.0; IR (neat) 3523, 2985, 1613, 1515, 1248, 1053 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₃₀H₄₂O₆Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 521.2879, found 521.2879.

4.3.9. (1*S*,2*R*,3*S*)-1-{(2*S*,5*S*)-5-[(*E*)-4-((4*R*,5*R*)-2,2-Dimethyl-5-vinyl-[1,3]dioxolan-4-yl)-but-3-enyl]-tetrahydro-furan-2-yl}-3-methyl-1-triethylsilanyloxy-hex-5-yn-2-ol (67). Protection of 66 as the triethylsilyl ether was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 24 to 25: alcohol 66 (0.40 g, 0.80 mmol), triethylamine (0.22 mL, 1.6 mmol), dichloromethane (3 mL), and triethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (0.22 mL, 0.96 mmol) were used.

Deprotection of the *p*-methoxybenzyl ether from the above intermediate was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 24 to 25: dichloromethane (10 mL), pH 7 buffer (1 mL), and DDQ (0.37 g, 1.6 mmol) were used. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography afforded 67 (0.34 g, 85%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 18^\circ$ (*c* 0.18, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.74–5.85 (m, 2H), 5.44 (br ddd, J=1.2, 6.0, 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (d, J=17.6 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (d, J=10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.02-4.10 (m, 2H), 3.81-3.88 (m, 1H), 3.74–3.81 (m, 1H), 3.67 (dd, J=2.0, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (ddd, J=2.0, 7.2, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.07–2.32 (m, 4H), 1.96 (t, J=2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.76–1.98 (m, 3H), 1.50–1.71 (m, 3H), 1.42–1.50 (m, 1H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.08 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 9H), 0.59–0.75 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 135.9, 134.3, 125.9, 118.3, 108.7, 82.2, 82.2, 82.1, 81.1, 78.6, 75.1, 73.3, 69.8, 35.5, 35.1, 30.8, 29.1, 27.2, 27.0, 26.9, 22.7, 15.0, 6.9, 5.3; IR (neat) 3535, 3311, 2955, 1239, 1056, 741 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₂₈H₄₈O₅SiNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 515.3169, found 515.3160.

4.4. 2-epi-19-epi-Amphidinolide E (4) series

4.4.1. Tricarbonyl[(E)-(2R,3S)-2-methyl-hexa-3,5-dienoic acid (1R,2S)-1-((R)-{(2S,5S)-5-[(E)-4-((4R,5R)-2,2dimethyl-5-vinyl-[1,3]dioxolan-4-yl)-but-3-enyl]-tetrahydro-furan-2-yl}-triethylsilanyloxy-methyl)-2-methylpent-4-ynyl ester liron (70). The esterification of alcohol 67 was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for **39**: alcohol **67** (0.33 g, 0.67 mmol), acid **10** (0.22 g, 0.80 mmol), triethylamine (0.22 mL, 1.6 mmol), DMAP (0.082 g, 0.67 mmol), THF (1.4 mL), and 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride (125 µL, 0.80 mmol) were used. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography afforded 70 (0.418 g, 85%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -2.6^\circ$ (c 0.54, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) & 5.75–5.83 (m, 2H), 5.41–5.47 (m, 1H), 5.35– 5.40 (m, 1H), 5.34 (app d, J=23.6 Hz, 1H), 5.25-5.30 (m, 1H), 5.23 (app d, J=12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (dd, J=2.4, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.03–4.08 (m, 2H), 3.70–3.79 (m, 1H), 3.71 (dd, J=3.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.59–3.65 (m, 1H), 2.05–2.37 (m, 6H), 1.98 (t, J=2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.85-1.95 (m, 1H), 1.75-1.85 (m, 2H), 1.60-1.70 (m, 1H), 1.50-1.60 (m, 2H), 1.40-1.50 (m, 1H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.33 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.00 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.93-0.99 (m, 1H), 0.96 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.59–0.73 (m, 6H), 0.35 (br dd, J=2.0, 8.4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.0, 173.6, 136.1, 134.3, 125.9, 118.4, 108.8, 87.1, 82.2, 82.2, 81.8, 80.4, 78.6, 77.2, 76.9, 74.9, 70.1, 64.0, 44.6, 40.4, 35.1, 33.0, 30.9, 29.2, 27.5, 27.0, 27.0, 22.7, 19.3, 15.6, 7.0, 5.3; IR (neat) 3312, 2877, 2050, 1982, 1732, 1380, 1239 cm^{-1} ;

HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₃₈H₅₆FeO₉SiNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 763.2941, found 763.2958.

4.4.2. (4E,11E,13E)-(1S,6R,10R,15S,18R,19R,20S)-18-((S)-3-Iodo-1-methyl-but-3-enyl)-8,8,15-trimethyl-19triethylsilanyloxy-7,9,17,23-tetraoxa-tricyclo-[18.2.1.0^{6,10}]tricosa-4,11,13-trien-16-one (71). The oxidative decomplexation of 70 was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of **39** to **40**: ester **70** (173 mg, 0.234 mmol), acetone (3 mL), and cerium ammonium nitrate (CAN) (0.28 g, 0.51 mmol) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford the polyene product (135 mg, 96%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 16^\circ$ (c 0.26, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.29 (dt, J=10.4, 16.8, 1H), 6.14 (dd, J=10.4, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.71-5.84 (m, 3H), 5.39-5.47 (m, 1H), 5.32 (d, J=17.6 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (d, J=11.6 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (d, J=16.4, 1H), 5.05 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (dd, J=2.8, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.01-4.07 (m, 2H), 3.61-3.77 (m, 3H), 3.20 (quint., J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.26-2.37 (m, 1H), 2.04–2.26 (m, 4H), 1.96 (t, J=2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.75–1.93 (m, 2H), 1.49-1.70 (m, 3H), 1.40-1.46 (m, 1H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.29 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (d, J=5.6 Hz, 3H), 0.95 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.56–0.72 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3) \delta 173.7, 136.4, 136.2, 134.3, 132.6,$ 132.2, 125.9, 118.5, 117.0, 108.8, 82.2, 82.2, 82.0, 80.3, 78.6, 76.8, 74.9, 70.0, 43.1, 35.1, 33.1, 30.9, 29.2, 27.5, 27.0, 27.0, 22.7, 17.1, 15.4, 7.0, 5.3; IR (neat) 3311, 2954, 2877, 1733, 1456, 1240, 1171 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₃₅H₅₆O₆SiNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 623.3744, found 623.3751.

The ring closing metathesis of the polyene intermediate was accomplished by using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 39 of 40: polyene from the preceding step (40 mg, 0.066 mmol), dichloromethane (66 mL), and Grubbs' first generation catalyst (11 mg, 0.013 mmol) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford the macrocycle product (22 mg, 58%) as a colorless oil. In addition, an inseparable mixture of products thought to arrive by enyne metathesis (3.8 mg, 10%) was also isolated. Spectroscopic data for macrocycle product: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 48^\circ$ (c 0.10, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.15-6.28 (m, 2H), 5.94 (dd, J=4.8, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 5.72 (ddd, J=3.6, 9.6, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.57 (dd, J=8.8, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (dd, J=8.4, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.99–4.08 (m, 2H), 3.72 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.29–3.38 (m, 1H), 3.18–3.30 (m, 2H), 2.18-2.36 (m, 4H), 1.80-2.20 (m, 2H), 1.98 (br s, 1H), 1.64-1.74 (m, 1H), 1.40-1.57 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 6H), 1.31 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.15-1.32 (m, 2H), 1.00 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.95 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.53–0.70 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.4, 138.1, 136.0, 134.6, 128.8, 127.4, 125.9, 109.0, 83.1, 82.2, 81.5, 79.8, 77.8, 77.4, 77.2, 75.0, 70.2, 43.3, 32.2, 32.1, 29.6, 28.5, 27.2, 27.1, 22.1, 15.8, 12.0, 7.1, 5.6; IR (neat) 3309, 2934, 2874, 1727, 1458, 1378, 1238, 1052 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/zfor C₃₃H₅₂O₆SiNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 595.3431, found 595.3439.

The stannylalumination–protonolysis of the alkyne from the preceding step was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of **40** to **41**: macrocycle alkyne (51 mg, 0.089 mmol), THF (1.5 mL),

Bu₃SnAlEt₂ (1.3 mL of the 0.42 M solution, 0.53 mmol), and CuCN (2 mg, 0.024 mmol) were used. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography afforded the vinylstannane product (40 mg, 52%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 138^\circ$ (c 0.05, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.15-6.29 (m, 2H), 5.95 (dd, J=4.8, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 5.67-5.78 (m, 2H), 5.57 (dd, J=8.4, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 5.35 (dd, J=8.0, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (app t, ${}^{3}J_{Sn-H}=34.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (app quint., J=9.6 Hz, 2H), 3.68 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.20-3.38 (m, 3H), 2.48 (br d, J=18.0 Hz, 1H), 2.26–2.35 (m, 1H), 2.09–2.19 (m, 1H), 1.84-2.06 (m, 3H), 1.64-1.74 (m, 1H), 1.41-1.57 (m, 8H), 1.44 (s, 6H), 1.24–1.36 (m, 11H), 0.96 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.85-0.92 (m, 15H), 0.82 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.58-0.68 (m, 6H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.5, 152.9, 138.2, 136.0, 134.7, 128.8, 127.3, 126.7, 125.9, 109.0, 83.1, 82.2, 80.1, 78.6, 76.0, 44.2, 43.5, 33.2, 32.3, 29.7, 29.1, 28.5, 27.4, 27.2, 27.1, 15.5, 13.7, 12.0, 10.7, 10.5, 9.5, 7.1, 5.7; IR (neat) 2930, 1727, 1239, 1052 cm^{-1} ; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C45H80O6SiSnNa [M+Na]+ calcd 887.4644, found 887.4681.

Iododestannylation of the vinylstannane intermediate was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 40 to 41: vinylstannane from above (11 mg, 0.013 mmol), dichloromethane (1 mL), and NIS (3.2 mg, 0.014 mmol) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 71 (9 mg, 98%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 46^\circ$ (c 0.07, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.15–6.29 (m, 2H), 6.09 (s, 1H), 5.89–5.98 (m, 1H), 5.76 (s, 1H), 5.68–5.76 (m, 1H), 5.58 (dd, J=8.8, 12.4 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (dd, J=8.0, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.98–4.90 (m, 2H), 3.66 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.20-3.37 (m, 3H), 2.61 (br d, J=13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.26–2.38 (m, 2H), 2.08–2.17 (m, 1H), 1.84–2.02 (m, 2H), 1.62-1.74 (m, 1H), 1.40-1.54 (m, 1H), 1.43 (s, 6H), 1.34 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.12-1.30 (m, 1H), 0.97 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.84 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.61-0.69 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.5, 138.2, 136.0, 134.3, 128.9, 127.5, 127.4, 126.0, 110.6, 109.0, 83.1, 82.2, 79.9, 77.6, 77.4, 75.9, 48.5, 43.4, 33.3, 32.2, 29.7, 29.6, 28.5, 27.2, 27.1, 14.7, 12.1, 7.2, 5.6; IR (neat) 2934, 1726, 1238, 1052 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₃₃H₅₃IO₆SiNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 723.2554, found 723.2567.

4.4.3. 2-epi-19-epi-Amphidinolide E (4). Deprotection of **71** was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of **41** to **2**: vinyl iodide **71** (26 mg, 0.037 mmol) and AcOH, THF, and water (4:1:1 ratio) (1 mL) were used. Analysis of the crude product by ¹H NMR indicated a 2:1 mixture of the desired C(18) and undesired C(17) lactones.

Stille coupling of the crude mixture of lactones from above was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of **41** to **2**: CuCl (18 mg, 0.18 mmol), THF (0.5 mL), vinylstannane **6** (61 mg, 0.17 mmol), and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (8 mg, 0.007 mmol) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography using 10% methanol/chloroform to afford material that was still contaminated with an organotin impurity. The stannane impurity was removed by HPLC purification with 100% ethyl acetate eluent on a normal phase, Varian

Dynamax Microsorb 60-8 Si, 250×21.4 mm column. The retention time for 2-epi-19-epi-amphidinolide E was 7.6 min. The flow rate was 18 mL/min. 2-epi-19-epi-Amphidinolide E was detected using UV absorption (λ =254 and 280 nm) and RI detection. Using the above conditions 6 mg (32% from 71) of pure 2-epi-19-epi-amphidinolide E was isolated: $[\alpha]_D^{25} -51^\circ$ (c 0.10, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.15–6.25 (m, 2H), 6.05 (d, J=16.0 Hz, 1H), 5.94–6.01 (m, 1H), 5.85 (dt, J=7.2, 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.55–5.70 (m, 2H), 5.30 (dd, J=7.6, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (s, 1H), 4.88 (s, 1H), 4.74 (s, 1H), 4.70 (s, 1H), 4.69 (d, J=10.4 Hz, 1H), 3.90–4.01 (m, 2H), 3.71-3.77 (m, 1H), 3.46-3.54 (m, 1H), 3.38-3.46 (m, 1H), 3.29-3.38 (m, 1H), 2.78 (br d, J=6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.60 (dd, J=3.6, 12.8 Hz, 1H), 2.22–2.41 (m, 5H), 1.73–1.96 (m, 4H), 1.72 (s, 3H), 1.36–1.70 (m, 4H), 1.34 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.82 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.7, 144.8, 144.0, 134.8, 134.2, 134.1, 133.1, 131.2, 129.7, 129.6, 128.4, 116.2, 110.9, 79.9, 78.2, 77.3, 76.5, 73.3, 43.2, 41.5, 36.1, 32.8, 32.3, 30.2, 29.1, 27.4, 22.7, 15.7, 13.4; IR (neat) 3401, 2931, 1724, 1238, 1047, 991 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₃₀H₄₄O₆Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 523.3036, found 523.3030.

4.5. Amphidinolide E (1) series

4.5.1. tert-Butyl-dimethyl-((E)-(R)-2-methyl-hexa-3,5-dienvloxy)-silane (75). To a -78 °C solution of 45⁴⁹ (4.19 g, 20.7 mmol) and γ -(TMS)-allyltributylstannane (11.7 g, 29.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (21 mL) was added BF₃·OEt₂ (2.89 mL, 22.8 mmol). The reaction was stirred for 30 min, then quenched at -78 °C with satd aq NaHCO₃ (60 mL), and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (40 mL×3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The residual was dissolved in THF (20 mL) and treated with KOt-Bu (2.0 g, 18 mmol). The reaction was stirred for 3 h at room temperature and then poured into a separatory funnel containing Et₂O (100 mL) and satd aq NaHCO₃ (200 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with Et_2O (50 mL×3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography afforded 75 (2.75 g, 59%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 11^{\circ}$ (c 4.8, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.31 (dt, J=10.4, 16.8 Hz, 1H), 6.08 (dd, J=10.4, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.64 (dd, J=7.2, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (d, J=17.2 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (dd, J=6.0, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 3.41 (dd, J=6.8, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (sept., J=6.8 Hz, 1H), 1.01 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.04 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 137.6, 137.4, 130.5, 115.2, 67.9, 39.3, 25.9, 18.3, 16.4, -5.3, -5.4; IR (neat) 2957, 1799, 1472, 1256, 1115, 1088, 837 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI+) m/z for C₉H₁₇OSi [M-C₄H₉]⁺ calcd 169.1049, found 169.1044.

4.5.2. Tricarbonyl[(*E*)-(2*S*,3*R*)-2-methyl-hexa-3,5-dienoic acid (1*R*,2*R*)-1-((*R*)-{(2*S*,5*S*)-5-[(*E*)-4-((4*R*,5*R*)-2,2-dimethyl-5-vinyl-[1,3]dioxolan-4-yl)-but-3-enyl]-tetra-hydro-furan-2-yl}-triethylsilanyloxy-methyl)-2-methylpent-4-ynyl ester]iron (76). The esterification of alcohol 25 to acid 9 was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for 39: alcohol 25 (120 mg, 0.244 mmol), acid 9 (105 mg, 0.390 mmol), triethylamine (0.12 mL,

0.854 mmol), DMAP (30 mg, 0.244 mmol), THF (0.5 mL), and 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride (61 µL, 0.390 mmol) were used. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography afforded 76 (179 mg, 99%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +13° (c 0.18, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.74–5.81 (m, 2H), 5.43 (app dd, J=4.8, 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.33 (d, J=16.8 Hz, 1H), 5.21-5.26 (m, 1H), 5.22 (d, J=11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (dd, J=1.2, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (app q, J=6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.72 (quint., J=5.6, 1H), 3.67 (dd, J=1.6, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (app q, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 1.99-2.32 (m, 7H), 1.94 (t, J=2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.73–1.88 (m, 3H), 1.50– 1.66 (m, 2H), 1.38–1.48 (m, 1H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.31 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.08 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.98 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.97 (app d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 0.59-0.76 (m, 6H), 0.32 (br dd, J=1.6, 9.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.2, 173.7, 136.1, 134.3, 126.0, 118.5, 108.9, 87.5, 82.2, 82.2, 82.2, 80.7, 78.5, 77.4, 77.2, 75.4, 69.7, 63.9, 44.5, 40.4, 35.2, 33.3, 30.9, 29.2, 27.8, 27.1, 26.9, 22.3, 19.2, 16.1, 7.1, 5.4; IR (neat) 3310, 2049, 1978, 1732, 1238, 1170 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₃₈H₅₆FeO₉SiNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 763.2941, found 763.2944.

4.5.3. (E)-(R)-2-Methyl-hexa-3,5-dienoic acid (1R,2R)-1- $((R)-\{(2S,5S)-5-[(E)-4-((4R,5R)-2,2-dimethyl-5-yinyl-$ [1,3]dioxolan-4-yl)-but-3-enyl]-tetrahydro-furan-2-yl}triethylsilanyloxy-methyl)-2-methyl-pent-4-ynyl ester (72). The oxidative decomplexation of 76 was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 39 to 40: 76 (45 mg, 0.061 mmol), acetone (1 mL), and cerium ammonium nitrate (CAN) (67 mg, 0.122 mmol) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 72 (35 mg, 95%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 1.0^\circ$ (c 0.10, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.29 (dt, J=10.0, 16.8, 1H), 6.15 (dd, J=10.4, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.72-5.82 (m, 3H), 5.42 (br dd, J=1.6, 6.0, 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (d, J=16.4 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dd, J=1.2, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (d, J=17.6, 1H), 5.06 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (dd, J=2.0, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (app q, J=7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.71 (quint., J=5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (dd, J=2.0, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (q, J=6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (quint., J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.98–2.36 (m, 5H), 1.94 (t, J=2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.74–1.88 (m, 2H), 1.57–1.66 (m, 1H), 1.46-1.56 (m, 1H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.36-1.45 (m, 2H), 1.29 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.08 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.95 (t, J=6.8 Hz, 9H), 0.57–0.72 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.7, 136.3, 136.2, 134.3, 132.5, 132.3, 125.8, 118.5, 117.0, 108.8, 82.4, 82.2, 82.2, 80.4, 78.4, 77.4, 75.3, 69.6, 42.8, 35.2, 33.3, 30.9, 29.2, 27.0, 26.9, 22.2, 17.0, 16.1, 7.0, 5.3; IR (neat) 3310, 2953, 2876, 1733, 1378, 1239 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₃₅H₅₆O₆₋ SiNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 623.3744, found 623.3748.

4.5.4. (4*E*,11*E*,13*E*)-(1*S*,6*R*,10*R*,15*R*,18*R*,19*R*,20*S*)-18-((*R*)-3-Iodo-1-methyl-but-3-enyl)-8,8,15-trimethyl-19-triethylsilanyloxy-7,9,17,23-tetraoxa-tricyclo-[18.2.1.0^{6,10}]tricosa-4,11,13-trien-16-one (77). The ring closing metathesis of 72 was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of **39** to **40**: polyene **72** (63 mg, 0.105 mmol), dichloromethane (105 mL), and Grubbs' first generation catalyst (17 mg, 0.021 mmol) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford the macrocycle

product (44 mg, 73%) as a colorless oil. In addition, an inseparable mixture of products thought to arrive by enyne metathesis (4 mg, 10%) was also isolated. Spectroscopic data for the macrocycle product: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 34^\circ$ (c 0.21, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.15–6.26 (m, 2H), 5.72 (ddd, J=3.6, 9.6, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.49-5.57 (m, 2H), 5.33 (app dd, J=8.4, 15.6 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (app dd, J=1.6, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (app dt, J=8.4, 26.0 Hz, 2H), 3.71 (app dd, J=1.6, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.20–3.35 (m, 3H), 2.19–2.36 (m, 3H), 1.82–2.03 (m, 3H), 1.95 (t, J=2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.62–1.70 (m, 1H), 1.50–1.59 (m, 1H), 1.38–1.49 (m, 1H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.23 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.15-1.28 (m, 2H), 1.06 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 9H), 0.57-0.73 (m, 6H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.2, 138.4, 135.5, 131.3, 127.6, 125.7, 109.0, 83.0, 82.9, 82.3, 79.9, 78.5, 77.2, 75.1, 69.3, 44.2, 33.2, 32.0, 29.6, 28.6, 27.2, 27.1, 27.0, 22.5, 16.9, 15.6, 7.1, 5.6; IR (neat) 3310, 2950, 2874, 1732, 1378, 1237, 1170, 1053 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₃₃H₅₂O₆SiNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 595.3431, found 595.3442.

Stannylalumination-protonolysis of the macrocycle alkyne was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 40 to 41: macrocycle alkyne from the preceding step 17 (44 mg, 0.077 mmol), THF (1 mL), Bu₃SnAlEt₂ (1.1 mL of the 0.41 M solution, 0.45 mmol), and CuCN (2 mg, 0.022 mmol) were used. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography afforded the vinylstannane (38 mg, 58%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 34.5^\circ$ (*c* 0.11, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.15–6.26 (m, 2H), 5.72 (app ddd, J=3.6, 9.6, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.63 (app t, ${}^{3}J_{\text{Sn-H}}=70$ Hz, 1H), 5.50–5.90 (m, 2H), 5.34 (dd, J=8.8,15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (dt, J=2.4 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{Sn-H}=31.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (app dt, J=8.8, 24.0 Hz, 2H), 3.75 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.15-3.35 (m, 3H), 2.33 (d, J=13.2 Hz, 2H), 1.82-2.06 (m, 4H), 1.60-1.70 (m, 1H), 1.40-1.57 (m, 8H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.25–1.36 (m, 7H), 1.22 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.18–1.24 (m, 2H), 0.96 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.93–0.99 (m, 1H), 0.85-0.93 (m, 14H), 0.81 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.55–0.72 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.2, 154.1, 138.5, 136.0, 135.8, 131.1, 127.5, 126.7, 125.7, 109.0, 83.1, 82.4, 80.1, 79.6, 77.2, 75.0, 45.4, 44.4, 33.0, 32.1, 29.7, 29.2, 29.1, 28.5, 27.4, 27.2, 27.1, 16.9, 14.8, 13.7, 9.6, 7.2, 5.7; IR (neat) 2954, 2931, 2873, 1732, 1237, 1170, 1053 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₄₅H₈₀O₆SiSnNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 887.4644, found 887.4655.

Iododestannylation of the vinylstannane intermediate was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of **40** to **41**: vinylstannane from the preceding step (121 mg, 0.140 mmol), dichloromethane (2 mL), and NIS (38 mg, 0.17 mmol) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford **77** (94 mg, 96%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ -63° (*c* 0.13, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.15–6.28 (m, 2H), 6.04 (s, 1H), 5.73 (s, 1H), 5.72 (ddd, *J*=4.0, 10.4, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 5.53 (app dt, *J*=9.2, 14.4 Hz, 2H), 5.33 (dd, *J*=8.8, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, *J*=10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (app dt, *J*=8.8, 28.4 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (d, *J*=8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.20–3.37 (m, 3H), 2.28–2.48 (m, 3H), 2.00 (dd, *J*=10.0, 13.6 Hz, 3H), 1.82–1.95 (m, 2H), 1.62–1.70 (m, 1H), 1.49–1.58 (m, 1H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.31–1.46 (m, 2H), 1.22 (d,

J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.16–1.20 (m, 1H), 0.98 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.85 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.56–0.75 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.4, 138.3, 135.9, 135.5, 131.3, 127.7, 127.1, 125.7, 111.4, 109.0, 83.1, 82.3, 80.0, 78.6, 77.2, 75.0, 48.0, 44.1, 32.7, 32.0, 29.5, 28.5, 27.2, 27.0, 16.9, 14.5, 7.2, 5.7; IR (neat) 2950, 2874, 1731, 1378, 1237, 1170, 1053 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) *m*/*z* for C₃₃H₅₃IO₆-SiNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 723.2554, found 723.2559.

4.5.5. Amphidinolide E (1). Deprotection of 77 was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 41 to 2: vinyl iodide 77 (15 mg, 0.021 mmol) and AcOH, THF, and water (4:1:1) (0.5 mL) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford only the C18 lactone (9 mg, 77%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 14.2^{\circ}$ (c 0.12, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.10-6.28 (m, 2H), 6.05 (s, 1H), 5.74 (s, 1H), 5.50-5.69 (m, 3H), 5.26 (dd, J=8.0, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (d, J=9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (app dt, J=8.8, 28.8 Hz, 2H), 3.68-3.74 (m, 1H), 3.55 (app q, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.36–3.44 (m, 1H), 3.22– 3.31 (m, 1H), 3.35-2.57 (m, 4H), 2.23-2.31 (m, 1H), 2.05 (dd, J=10.0, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 1.72-1.93 (m, 3H), 1.29-1.62 (m, 5H), 1.25 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.93 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.4, 135.0, 134.9, 134.1, 131.5, 129.5, 127.3, 110.7, 79.8, 78.1, 77.6, 77.2, 77.1, 76.6, 73.3, 48.4, 44.0, 33.2, 32.5, 29.9, 29.0, 27.1, 17.5, 14.7; IR (neat) 3428, 2932, 2873, 1729, 1167, 990 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₂₄H₃₅IO₆Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 569.1376, found 569.1369.

Stille coupling of the C18 lactone from above was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 41 to 2: vinyl iodide lactone from the preceding step (20 mg, 0.037 mmol), CuCl (20 mg, 0.201 mmol), THF (0.5 mL), vinylstannane 6 (68 mg, 0.183 mmol), and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (8.5 mg, 0.00732 mmol) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography using 10% methanol/chloroform to afford material that was still contaminated with an organotin impurity. The stannane impurity was removed by HPLC purification with 100% ethyl acetate eluent on a normal phase, Varian Dynamax Microsorb 60-8 Si, 250×21.4 mm column. The retention time for amphidinolide E was 9.5 min. The flow rate was 18 mL/min. Amphidinolide E was detected using UV absorption (λ =254 and 280 nm) and RI detection. Using the above conditions 10.6 mg (59%) of pure synthetic amphidinolide E was isolated: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 86^\circ$ (c 0.08, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.10–6.28 (m, 2H) (H4 and H5), 6.05 (d, J=15.2 Hz, 1H) (H22), 5.58–5.75 (m, 3H) (H3, H10, H23), 5.53 (dd, J=8.8, 14.4 Hz, 1H) (H6), 5.27 (dd, J=7.6, 14.4 Hz, 1H) (H9), 4.98 (s, 1H) (H29), 4.87 (s, 1H) (H29), 4.75 (s, 1H) (H26), 4.71 (s, 1H) (H26), 4.66 (d, J=9.2 Hz, 1H) (H18), 3.95 (t, J=8.4 Hz, 1H) (H8), 3.89 (t, J=8.8 Hz, 1H) (H7), 3.68-3.74 (m, 1H) (H17), 3.52-3.60 (m, 1H) (H16), 3.36-3.45 (m, 1H) (H13), 3.21-3.30 (m, 1H) (H2), 2.71-2.84 (m, 2H) (H24), 2.20-2.45 (m, 6H) (H20a, H19, H11a and -OH×3), 1.75-1.94 (m, 3H) (H11b, H12a, H20b), 1.72 (s, 3H) (H27), 1.51-1.68 (m, 1H) (H15a, overlapping w/water), 1.21-1.51 (m, 4H) (H12b, H14a, H14b, H15b), 1.25 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H) (H30), 0.92 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H) (H29); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.4, 144.4, 144.0, 135.1, 135.0, 134.1, 133.3, 131.4, 131.4, 129.4, 127.9, 115.7, 110.7, 79.9, 78.3, 78.0,

77.6, 76.7 (overlapping w/chloroform), 73.2, 44.1, 41.2, 36.0, 32.6, 32.3, 29.9, 28.9, 27.1, 22.5, 17.5, 15.3; IR (neat) 3439, 2929, 1731, 1454, 1168, 990 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) *m*/*z* for $C_{30}H_{44}O_6Na$ [M+Na]⁺ calcd 523.3036, found 523.3038.

4.6. 19-epi-Amphidinolide E (3) series

4.6.1. Tricarbonyl[(E)-(2S,3R)-2-methyl-hexa-3,5-dienoic acid $(1R,2S)-1-((R)-\{(2S,5S)-5-[(E)-4-((4R,5R)-2,2$ dimethyl-5-vinyl-[1.3]dioxolan-4-yl)-but-3-enyl]-tetrahydro-furan-2-yl}-triethylsilanyloxy-methyl)-2-methylpent-4-ynyl ester]iron (78). Esterification of alcohol 67 with acid 9 was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for **39**: alcohol **67** (0.34 g, 0.68 mmol), acid 9 (0.29 g, 1.09 mmol), triethylamine (0.35 mL, 2.4 mmol), DMAP (83 mg, 0.68 mmol), THF (1.5 mL), and 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride (0.17 mL, 1.09 mmol) were used. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography afforded 78 (0.501 g, 99%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +13° (c 0.25, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.74–5.84 (m, 2H), 5.39–5.49 (m, 2H), 5.34 (d, J=17.6 Hz, 1H), 5.20–5.28 (m, 2H), 4.86 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.02–4.09 (m, 2H), 3.68–3.78 (m, 2H), 3.61–3.68 (m, 1H), 2.06–2.40 (m, 6H), 1.99 (t, J=2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.72–1.90 (m, 3H), 1.46–1.70 (m, 3H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.32 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.89-1.02 (m, 13H), 0.60-0.77 (m, 6H), 0.33 (br dd, J=1.6, 8.4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.3, 173.8, 136.2, 134.4, 126.1, 118.6, 108.9, 87.5, 82.4, 82.3, 81.7, 80.7, 78.7, 77.1, 75.3, 70.4, 64.1, 44.6, 40.5, 35.3, 32.9, 31.0, 29.3, 27.8, 27.2, 27.1, 22.6, 19.4, 15.9, 7.2, 5.5; IR (neat) 3311, 2936, 2049, 1979, 1731 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF+) m/z for C₃₈H₅₆FeO₉₋ SiH [M+H]⁺ calcd 741.3116, found 741.3101.

4.6.2. (4*E*,11*E*,13*E*)-(1*S*,6*R*,10*R*,15*R*,18*R*,19*R*,20*S*)-18-((S)-3-Iodo-1-methyl-but-3-enyl)-8,8,15-trimethyl-19-triethylsilanyloxy-7,9,17,23-tetraoxa-tricyclo[18.2.1.0^{6,10}]tricosa-4,11,13-trien-16-one (79). The oxidative decomplexation of 78 was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 39 to 40: ester 78 (0.45 g, 0.60 mmol), acetone (6 mL), and cerium ammonium nitrate (CAN) (0.66 g, 1.2 mmol) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford the polyene product (0.30 g, 82%)as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -7.3° (c 1.6, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.31 (dt, *J*=10.4, 16.8, 1H), 6.15 (dd, J=10.8, 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.74–5.84 (m, 3H), 5.43 (br dd, J=5.6, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (d, J=17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (d, J=10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (d, J=16.4, 1H), 5.06 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (dd, J=2.4, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.02–4.09 (m, 2H), 3.60–3.77 (m, 1H), 3.22 (quint., J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.31–2.41 (m, 1H), 2.04–2.26 (m, 4H), 1.98 (t, J=2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.72– 1.91 (m, 2H), 1.58-1.69 (m, 1H), 1.39-1.57 (m, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.30 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.99 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.57–0.74 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.8, 136.5, 136.2, 134.4, 132.7, 132.3, 126.0, 118.5, 117.1, 108.9, 82.3, 82.3, 81.9, 80.5, 78.6, 77.0, 75.2, 70.2, 43.0, 35.3, 33.0, 31.0, 29.3, 27.6, 27.1, 27.0, 22.7, 17.2, 15.7, 7.1, 5.4; IR (neat) 3309, 2953, 1983, 1734, 1239, 1169, 1054 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF+) m/z for C₃₅H₅₆O₆SiNa [M+Na]⁺ calcd 623.3744, found 623.3728.

Ring closing metathesis of the polyene was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of **39** to **40**: polyene from the preceding step (124 mg, 0.206 mmol), dichloromethane (206 mL), and Grubbs' first generation catalyst (17 mg, 0.021 mmol) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford the macrocycle product (67 mg, 57%) as a colorless oil. In addition, an inseparable mixture of products thought to arrive by envne metathesis (6 mg, 5%) was also isolated. Spectroscopic data for the macrocycle product: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 44^{\circ}$ $(c \ 0.25, \text{CHCl}_3); {}^{1}\text{H} \text{NMR} (400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 6.14-6.26$ (m. 2H), 5.71 (ddd, J=3.2, 9.6, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 5.48–5.58 (m, 2H), 5.32 (dd, J=8.8, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (app dt, J=8.4, 25.2 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.18–3.34 (m, 3H), 2.15–2.36 (m, 4H), 1.98 (t, J=2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.82–1.96 (m, 2H), 1.61–1.70 (m, 1H), 1.48-1.57 (m, 1H), 1.38-1.48 (m, 1H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.10–1.28 (m, 2H), 1.22 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.98 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.95 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.54-0.72 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.2, 138.5, 136.1, 135.8, 131.3, 127.7, 125.8, 109.1, 83.2, 82.5, 81.4, 80.1, 77.9, 75.1, 70.4, 44.4, 32.1, 29.6, 28.7, 27.3, 27.2, 27.1, 22.2, 17.0, 16.0, 7.3, 5.8; IR (neat) 3310, 2935, 1732, 1237, 1170 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF+) m/z for C₃₃H₅₂O₆SiH [M+H]⁺ calcd 573.3606, found 573.3596.

Stannylalumination-protonolysis of the macrocycle alkyne was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 40 to 41: macrocycle alkyne from above (32 mg, 0.056 mmol), THF (1 mL), Bu₃SnAlEt₂ (0.80 mL of the 0.42 M solution, 0.34 mmol), and CuCN (1 mg, 0.011 mmol) were used. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography afforded the vinylstannane (31 mg, 64%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 119^\circ$ (c 0.10, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.15–6.28 (m, 2H), 5.67–5.76 (m, 2H), 5.53 (app dd, J=9.6, 14.4 Hz, 2H), 5.33 (dd, J=8.8, 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (t, ${}^{3}J_{Sn-H}=$ 32.0 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (app dt, J=8.8, 23.2 Hz, 2H), 3.72 (app q, J=12.4 Hz, 1H), 3.19-3.36 (m, 3H), 2.49 (br d, J=13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.28–2.36 (m, 1H), 2.10– 2.20 (m, 1H), 1.83-2.24 (m, 3H), 1.56-1.71 (m, 1H), 1.40-1.56 (m, 9H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.25-1.36 (m, 7H), 1.22 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 9H), 0.85-0.92 (m, 14H), 0.81 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.59-0.69 (m. 6H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.3, 152.9, 138.6, 136.2, 136.0, 131.3, 127.6, 126.6, 125.8, 109.1, 83.2, 82.5, 80.3, 80.0, 77.5, 75.9, 44.4, 44.0, 33.1, 32.3, 29.7, 29.4, 29.3, 28.7, 27.5, 27.3, 27.2, 17.1, 15.7, 13.8, 9.6, 7.4, 5.8; IR (neat) 2955, 1732, 1171, 1054 cm^{-1} ; HRMS (ESI-TOF+) m/z for C₄₅H₈₀O₆SiSnH [M+H]⁺ calcd 865.4819, found 865.4825.

Iododestannylation of vinylstannane was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of **40** to **41**: vinylstannane from the preceding step (54 mg, 0.063 mmol), dichloromethane (2 mL), and NIS (17 mg, 0.075 mmol) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford **79** (34 mg, 77%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 110^{\circ}$ (*c* 0.11, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.15–6.28 (m, 2H), 6.09 (s, 1H), 5.76 (s, 1H), 5.72 (ddd, *J*=3.6, 9.6, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.48–5.58 (m, 2H), 5.33 (dd, *J*=8.8, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (d, *J*=7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (app dt, *J*=8.4, 25.2 Hz, 2H), 3.68 (d,

J=8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.30–3.38 (m, 1H), 3.19–3.30 (m, 2H), 2.63 (dd, J=4.4, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.26–2.39 (m, 2H), 2.12 (dd, J=9.2, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 1.84–2.10 (m, 2H), 1.36–1.55 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.11–1.27 (m, 3H), 1.23 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.97 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 9H), 0.84 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.60–0.71 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.3, 138.6, 136.2, 135.7, 131.5, 127.7, 127.5, 125.8, 110.7, 109.2, 83.1, 82.5, 80.1, 77.7, 77.6, 75.9, 48.5, 44.3, 33.3, 32.2, 29.6, 28.7, 27.3, 27.2, 27.2, 17.0, 15.0, 7.4, 5.8; IR (neat) 2981, 1731, 1377, 1170, 1053 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF+) m/z for C₃₃H₅₃IO₆SiH [M+H]⁺ calcd 701.2729, found 701.2723.

4.6.3. 19-epi-Amphidinolide E (3). Deprotection of 79 was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 41 to 2: vinyl iodide 79 (34 mg, 0.049 mmol) and AcOH, THF, and water (4:1:1) (1 mL) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to afford only the C18 lactone (24 mg, 90%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 90^\circ$ (c 0.18, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.10-6.26 (m, 2H), 6.08 (s, 1H), 5.76 (s, 1H), 5.49–5.69 (m, 3H), 5.26 (dd, J=8.0, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (app dt, J=8.8, 26.0 Hz, 2H), 3.63–3.68 (m, 1H), 3.58–3.60 (m, 1H), 3.34-3.46 (m, 1H), 3.21-3.30 (m, 1H), 2.66 (dd, J=3.6, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.33–2.50 (m, 4H), 2.20–2.29 (m, 1H), 2.14 (app dd, J=9.6, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 1.70-1.93 (m, 3H), 1.54-1.64 (m, 1H), 1.32–1.49 (m, 3H), 1.26 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.2, 135.0, 134.3, 131.6, 131.6, 129.6, 127.6, 110.5, 80.0, 78.3, 77.7, 76.2, 73.4, 48.3, 44.1, 33.9, 32.9, 30.1, 29.1, 27.2, 17.6, 15.0; IR (neat) 3430, 2933, 1731, 1169. 990 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES+) m/z for C₂₄H₃₅IO₆Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 569.1376, found 569.1367.

Stille coupling of the C18 lactone from above was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that outlined for the conversion of 41 to 2: vinyl iodide from above (24 mg, 0.044 mmol), CuCl (24 mg, 0.24 mmol), THF (0.5 mL), vinylstannane 6 (82 mg, 0.22 mmol), and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (10 mg, 0.0087 mmol) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography using 10% methanol/chloroform to afford material that was still contaminated with an organotin impurity. The stannane impurity was removed by HPLC purification with 100% ethyl acetate eluent on a normal phase, Varian Dynamax Microsorb 60-8 Si, 250×21.4 mm column. The retention time for 19-epi-amphidinolide E was 7.5 min. The flow rate was 18 mL/min. 19-epi-Amphidinolide E was detected using UV absorption $(\lambda = 254 \text{ and } 280 \text{ nm})$ and RI detection. Using the above conditions 15 mg (68%) of pure 19-epi-amphidinolide E (3) was isolated: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 7.8^{\circ}$ (c 0.50, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.10–6.28 (m, 2H), 6.05 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.87 (dt, J=6.8, 16.0 Hz, 1H), 5.49-5.70 (m, 3H), 5.27 (dd, J=8.0, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (s, 1H), 4.88 (s, 1H), 4.73 (s, 1H), 4.70 (s, 1H), 4.68 (d, J=10.4 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (t, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (t, J=8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (app q, J=8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.35-3.44 (m, 1H), 3.21-3.31 (m, 1H), 2.77 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.62 (dd, J=3.6, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 2.24-2.46 (m, 5H), 1.74-1.94 (m, 4H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 1.53-1.64 (m, 1H), 1.22–1.51 (m, 3H), 1.25 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.81 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.3, 144.8, 143.9, 135.4, 135.1, 134.3, 133.1, 131.6,

129.6, 128.5, 116.3, 111.0, 80.1, 78.2, 78.0, 77.7, 76.8, 73.4, 44.2, 41.6, 36.0, 32.5, 32.2, 30.0, 29.1, 27.1, 22.7, 17.5, 15.7; IR (neat) 3400, 2931, 1731, 1169, 989 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF+) *m*/*z* for C₃₀H₄₄O₆Na [M+Na]⁺ calcd 523.3036, found 523.3024.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (GM38436). Preliminary studies at the University of Michigan were supported by GM38907. We thank Dr. Troy Ryba for assistance with the HPLC purification of amphidinolide E, and Prof. Jun-ichi Kobayashi for providing comparative spectroscopic data for the natural product.

References and notes

- For reviews on the amphidinolides: (a) Kobayashi, J.; Ishibashi, M. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 1753; (b) Chakraborty, T. K.; Das, S. Curr. Med. Chem. Anti-Cancer Agents 2001, 1, 131; (c) Kobayashi, J.; Shimbo, K.; Kubota, T.; Tsuda, M. Pure Appl. Chem. 2003, 75, 337; (d) Kobayashi, J.; Tsuda, M. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2004, 21, 77.
- (a) Lam, H. W.; Pattenden, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 508; (b) Maleczka, R. E., Jr.; Terrell, L. R.; Geng, F.; Ward, J. S., III. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2841; (c) Trost, B. M.; Chisholm, J. D.; Wrobleski, S. J.; Jung, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 12420; (d) Trost, B. M.; Harrington, P. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5028; (e) Trost, B. M.; Wrobleski, S. T.; Chisholm, J. D.; Harrington, P. E.; Jung, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 13589; (f) Trost, B. M.; Harrington, P. E.; Chisholm, J. D.; Wrobleski, S. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 13598.
- Williams, D. R.; Kissel, W. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 11198.
- 4. Williams, D. R.; Meyer, K. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 765.
- (a) Williams, D. R.; Myers, B. J.; Mi, L. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 945;
 (b) Trost, B. M.; Papillon, J. P. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 13618;
 (c) Trost, B. M.; Papillon, J. P. N.; Nussbaumer, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 17921.
- 6. (a) Fürstner, A.; Aissa, C.; Riveiros, R.; Ragot, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4763; (b) Aissa, C.; Riveiros, R.; Ragot, J.; Fürstner, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 15512; (c) Ghosh, A. K.; Liu, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 2374; (d) Ghosh, A. K.; Liu, C. Strategies Tactics Org. Synth. 2004, 5, 255; (e) Colby, E. A.; O'Brien, K. C.; Jamison, T. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 998; (f) Colby, E. A.; O'Brien, K. C.; Jamison, T. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 4297; (g) O'Brien, K. C.; Colby, E. A.; Jamison, T. F. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 6243; (h) Deng, L.-S.; Huang, X.-P.; Zhao, G. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 4625.
- (a) Ghosh, A. K.; Gong, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3704;
 (b) Ghosh, A. K.; Gong, G. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 1085.
- Lepage, O.; Kattnig, E.; Fürstner, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 15970.
- Fürstner, A.; Kattnig, E.; Lepage, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9194.
- (a) Kobayashi, J.; Ishibashi, M.; Murayama, T.; Takamatsu, M.; Iwamura, M.; Ohizumi, Y.; Sasaki, T. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55,

3421; (b) Kubota, T.; Tsuda, M.; Kobayashi, J. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 1651.

- Kim, C. H.; An, H. J.; Shin, W. K.; Yu, W.; Woo, S. K.; Jung, S. K.; Lee, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 8019.
- Gurjar, M. K.; Mohapatra, S.; Phalgune, U. D.; Puranik, V. G.; Mohapatra, D. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004, 45, 7899.
- 13. Marshall, J. A.; Schaaf, G.; Nolting, A. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5331.
- 14. (a) Micalizio, G. C.; Roush, W. R. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 461; (b) Micalizio, G. C.; Roush, W. R. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1949; (c) Shotwell, J. B.; Roush, W. R. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3865; (d) Tinsley, J. M.; Roush, W. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10818; (e) Mertz, E.; Tinsley, J. M.; Roush, W. R. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 8035; (f) Tinsley, J. M.; Mertz, E.; Chong, P. Y.; Rarig, R.-A. F.; Roush, W. R. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5501.
- For reviews of reactions of allylsilanes: (a) Masse, C. E.; Panek, J. S. *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, 95, 1293; (b) Chabaud, L.; James, P.; Landais, Y. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, 3173.
- 16. (a) Panek, J. S.; Yang, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 9868;
 (b) Panek, J. S.; Beresis, R. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 809;
 (c) Beresis, R.; Panek, J. S. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1993, 3, 1609;
 (d) Peng, Z.-H.; Woerpel, K. A. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2945;
 (e) Peng, Z.-H.; Woerpel, K. A. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 675;
 (f) Roberson, C. W.; Woerpel, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11342;
 (g) Peng, Z.-H.; Woerpel, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6018.
- 17. Va, P.; Roush, W. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 15960.
- 18. Va, P.; Roush, W. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 307.
- (a) Stille, J. K.; Groh, B. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 813;
 (b) Han, X.; Stoltz, B. M.; Corey, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 7600.
- 20. Sarabia, F.; Sanchez-Ruiz, A. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 9514.
- Johnson, W. S.; Werthemann, L.; Bartlett, W. R.; Brocksom, T. J.; Li, T.-T.; Faulkner, D. J.; Petersen, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 741.
- 22. Roush, W. R.; Koyama, K.; Curtin, M. L.; Moriarty, K. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 7502.
- (a) Roush, W. R.; Halterman, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 294; (b) Roush, W. R.; Hoong, L. K.; Palmer, M. A. J.; Park, J. C. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4109; (c) Roush, W. R.; Hoong, L. K.; Palmer, M. A. J.; Straub, J. A.; Palkowitz, A. D. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4117; (d) Roush, W. R.; Palkowitz, A. D.; Ando, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6348.
- 24. Schmid, C. R.; Bradley, D. A. Synthesis 1992, 587.
- Parikh, J. R.; Doering, W. v. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 5505.
- 26. Corey, E. J.; Fuchs, P. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 13, 3769.
- 27. (a) Roush, W. R.; Grover, P. T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1990, *31*, 7567; (b) Roush, W. R.; Grover, P. T. *Tetrahedron* 1992, *48*, 1981.
- 28. Dale, J. A.; Mosher, H. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 512.
- 29. Hudrlik, P. F.; Peterson, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 1464.
- 30. [3+2] Annulations involving aldehydes with α -chelating groups promoted by SnCl₄ typically afford 2,5-*trans*-THF products.^{14a} Since, aldehyde **5** has no α -chelating group, we anticipated 2,5-*cis* product **24** to predominate.
- Heitzman, C. L.; Lambert, W. T.; Mertz, E.; Shotwell, J. B.; Tinsley, J. M.; Va, P.; Roush, W. R. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2405.
- 32. Horita, K.; Yoshioka, T.; Tanaka, T.; Oikawa, Y.; Yonemitsu, O. *Tetrahedron* **1986**, *42*, 3021.
- 33. Acid **13** was synthesized by hydrolysis of the chiral auxiliary in oxazolidinone **36** (64% yield).

- 34. Hikota, M.; Sakurai, Y.; Horita, K.; Yonemitsu, O. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 6367.
- For use of EDCI-MeI: Mergott, D. J.; Frank, S. A.; Roush, W. R. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2004, *101*, 11955; For use of PyBrOP: Coste, J.; Frerot, E.; Jouin, P. *J. Org. Chem.* 1994, *59*, 2437; For use of DCC: Xia, Z.; Smith, C. D. *J. Org. Chem.* 2001, *66*, 3459.
- (a) Otera, J.; Danoh, N.; Nozaki, H. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 5307; (b) Orita, A.; Sakamoto, K.; Hamada, Y.; Mitsutome, A.; Otera, J. *Tetrahedron* 1999, 55, 2899; (c) Orita, A.; Mitsutome, A.; Otera, J. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 2420.
- (a) Kita, Y.; Maeda, H.; Omori, K.; Okuno, T.; Tamura, Y. *Synlett* **1993**, 273; (b) Kita, Y.; Maeda, H.; Omori, K.; Okuno, T.; Tamura, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **1993**, 2999; (c) Trost, B. M.; Chisholm, J. D. Org. Lett. **2002**, 4, 3743.
- For reviews of enolate alkylations: (a) Evans, D. A. Asymmetric Synthesis; Morrison, J. D., Ed.; Academic: New York, NY, 1984; Vol. 3, p 1; (b) Caine, D. Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Pattenden, G., Ed.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 3, p 1.
- Takacs, J. M.; Jaber, M. R.; Swanson, B. J.; Mehrman, S. J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1998, 9, 4313.
- Acid 9 has been previously synthesized in racemic form: (a) Donaldson, W. A.; Craig, R.; Spanton, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992, 33, 3967; (b) Wasicak, J. T.; Craig, R. A.; Henry, R.; Dasgupta, B.; Li, H.; Donaldson, W. A. *Tetrahedron* 1997, 53, 4185.
- For reviews on olefin metathesis: (a) Gradillas, A.; Perez-Castells, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 6086; (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Bulger, P. G.; Sarlah, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4490; (c) Fürstner, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3012.
- For recent examples of diene or triene forming ring closing metatheses: (a) Biswas, K.; Lin, H.; Njardarson, J. T.; Chappell, M. D.; Chou, T.-C.; Guan, Y.; Tong, W. P.; He, L.; Horwitz, S. B.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 9825; (b) Evano, G.; Schaus, J. V.; Panek, J. S. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 525; (c) Fürstner, A.; Nevado, C.; Tremblay, M.; Chevrier, C.; Teply, F.; Aissa, C.; Waser, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5837.

- 43. (a) Fürstner, A.; Dierkes, T. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2463; (b) Evans,
 P. A.; Cui, J.; Gharpure, S. J.; Polosukhin, A.; Zhang, H.-R.
 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 14702.
- 44. Wang, Y.-G.; Kobayashi, Y. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 4615.
- 45. (a) Crimmins, M. T.; She, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12790; (b) Trost, B. M.; Frederiksen, M. U.; Papillon, J. P. N.; Harrington, P. E.; Shin, S.; Shireman, B. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 3666.
- 46. Ono, K.; Nagata, T.; Nishida, A. Synlett 2003, 1207.
- 47. Sharma, S.; Oehlschlager, A. C. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 5064.
- (a) De Riccardis, F.; Minale, L.; Riccio, R.; Giovannitti, B.; Iorizzi, M.; Debitus, C. *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **1993**, *123*, 79; (b) Finamore, E.; Minale, L.; Riccio, R.; Rinaldo, G.; Zollo, F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 1146.
- (a) See Ref. 23d; (b) Kalesse, M.; Chary, K. P.; Quitschalle, M.; Burzlaff, A.; Kasper, C.; Scheper, T. *Chem.—Eur. J.* 2003, *9*, 1129.
- 50. Fuwa, H.; Okamura, Y.; Natsugari, H. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 5341.
- Harada, N.; Nakanishi, K. Circular Dichroic Spectrometry: Exciton Coupling in Organic Stereochemistry; University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA, 1983.
- Lo, L. C.; Berova, N.; Nakanishi, K.; Schlingmann, G.; Carter, G. T.; Borders, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7371.
- 53. Keck, G. E.; Romer, D. R. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 6083.
- Fürstner has postulated the formation of a ketene intermediate during an attempted Yamaguchi macrocyclization. This postulated ketene intermediate ultimately led to the formation of an undesired substituted phenol: Fürstner, A.; Aissa, C.; Chevrier, C.; Teply, F.; Nevado, C.; Tremblay, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 5832. Although it is possible that acid **13** (Table 2) could have suffered from a similar side-reaction pathway, the expected side product(s) (*ortho*-methylphenol or acylated *ortho*-methylphenol) was not observed.
- (a) Hodous, B. L.; Ruble, J. C.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 2637; (b) Wiskur, S. L.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 6176.
- Curran, D. P.; Zhang, Q.; Lu, H.; Gudipati, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9943.